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## ABSTRACT

The main purpose of this work is to perform Lie group analysis of a pseudo-parabolic partial differential equation modelling solvent uptake in polymeric solids. Three different sub-models for constitutive laws for the diffusion coefficient and the viscosity will be considered. Once the symmetries have been determined, they will be used to find groupinvariant solutions for the optimal systems of one-dimensional subalgebras obtained and where possible, exact solutions will be obtained.
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## INTRODUCTION

Products that are commonly referred to as plastics, nylon and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) to the general public are known as polymers to chemists. A polymer is a macromolecule which consists of small molecular units that are linked together to form a long chain. The small molecular unit is called a monomer.

If one immerses a polymer sample, for example, polymethylmetacrylate, in an organic solvent such as methanol, solvent particles diffuse into the polymer [8]. In this process an anomalous diffusion behaviour known as Case II which was reported in [1] by Alfrey, Gurnee and Lloyd occurs. Case II diffusion (which occurs in polymer-penetrant systems) explains the process in terms of two basic parameters, the diffusion coefficient and the viscosity of the polymer. It is characterized by linear sorption kinetics and a sharp diffusion front in which the penetrant subsatacially swells the polymer [24]. Alfrey et al documented that as the solvent penetrates into the polymer, swelling takes place and a sharp front is generated between the swollen rubbery shell and inner glassy core at a constant velocity, which result in the growth of the volume of the absorbed liquid to be linear in time. After a certain time the normal (Fickian) diffusion, characterized by the penetrant's volume being proportional to the square root of time, occurs. The Fickian diffusion follows the Fick's laws introduced by Adolf Fick in 1855, which states that diffusion is the movement of components from a high concentration to a low concentration across a concentration gradient, and the concentration changes as a function of time to the change in flux with respect to position.

The most widely known Mathematical model of the uptake of liquids by polymers was proposed by Thomas and Windle [24] in 1982. Case II diffusion and related diffusion process have also been studied by different authors [9, 10, 12, 13]. But recently, research of the model was carried out using pseudo-parabolic differential equation [8].

## Problem to be investigated

The pseudoparabolic differential equation modelling solvent uptake in polymeric solids is given by [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(D(u)\left(u+\nu(u) u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=u(t, x)$ is the concentration of the solvent, $t$ represents time, $x$ stands for the material coordinates, $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, and $\nu$ is the viscosity of the polymer. The solvent has the property that mass is conserved upon penetrating into the polymer, hence $u(t, x)$ satisfies the continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+\operatorname{div} J=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J=J(t, x)$ describes the flux of the solvent. The flux obeys a generalized Darcy's law of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=-D(u)(\nabla u+E(u) \nabla \sigma), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the stress put on the polymer by the solvent particles and $E$ is the stress coefficient.

Equation (1) will be considered for different constitutive laws for the diffusion coefficient $D(u)$ and the viscosity $\nu(u)$. In [8] a proof of (short and long) existence of solutions to the Dirichelt problem for constitutive law $D(u)=u^{m}$ with $m>0$ and $\nu(u)=1$ was given.

## Model I:

In this case, it is assumed that there is no phase transition of the polymer during the uptake of the solvent and at least one of the quantities, diffusion coefficient or inverse viscosity, degenerates. For example, take $D(u)=u^{m}$ with $m>0$ and $\nu(u)=1$, then the special case of equation (1) having the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u^{p} u_{x}+u^{q} u_{t x}\right)_{x}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are real numbers is considered. In the investigation by Hulshof and King [13] using phase plane analysis, it was determined that there exist positive travelling
wave solutions with fronts if and only if $0<m<2$. It is worth noting that the case $p=q=m$ corresponds to power law in diffusion coefficient with constant viscosity.

## Model II:

In this case, the assumption is that there is no phase transition of the polymer during the uptake of the solvent and neither the diffusion coefficient nor the inverse viscosity degenerates in $u$. We will consider $D(u)=e^{m u}$ and $\nu(u)=e^{-n u}$ where $m, n>0$ as proposed by Thomas and Windle [24]. Thus the model is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(e^{m u}\left(u+e^{-n u} u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Asymptotic analysis on the dependence of the front velocity on $m$ and $n$ was discussed by During and Cohen [9]. Equation (5) was solved using numerical simulations in [24], the move from case II to Fickian diffusion was noted.

## Model III:

The asymptotic analysis by Hulshof and King [13] suggested the diffusion coefficient of the form $D(u)=u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}$ and the constant viscosity $\nu=1$ where $\alpha \geq 2,0<\beta<2$ and $\epsilon \ll 1$. The term $u^{\alpha}$ provides for creation of an approximately sharp front and the perturbed term $\epsilon u^{\beta}$ generates a similar front of finite length to cause the sharp front move like a travelling wave. Thus the model is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large time (i.e. $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) equation (6) is approximated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right) u_{x}\right)_{x} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical Lie symmetry analysis approach will be used to solve models (4) and (5) while approximate symmetry analysis of models (6) and (7) will be performed.

## Method to be used

In the nineteenth century, Norwegian mathematician Sophus Lie introduced a method of solving differential equations (DEs) known as Lie symmetry (group) analysis which its
core idea is based on the invariance of a differential equation under a continuous group of symmetries. A symmetry group of a differential equation is a group of transformation which maps any solution to another solution of a differential equation [20]. In other words, a symmetry of a differential equation is an invertible transformation whose action leaves the DE unchanged. Finding all symmetries is a difficult task as it involves a lot of cumbersome and tedious calculations. But if we consider symmetries that depend continuously on one-parameter and that form a group, we can determine them by using a powerful and versatile systematic procedure known as Lie's algorithm. The Lie's algorithm has been implemented using Computer Algebra Systems(CAS) like Mathematica, Maple, Maxima and Reduce to mention a few [19]. In this work we will use a software package YaLie [7] written in Mathematica together with needed algebraic manipulations to determine the Lie point symmetries.

The investigation of the exact solutions plays an important role in the study of PDEs. Many different methods have been developed to find these exact solutions such as the inverse scattering method, Darboux and Bäcklund transformation, Hirota bilinear method, Lie symmetry analysis, e.t.c. However, the latter has proven to be a powerful and systematic approach to construct exact solutions of PDEs by using symmetries to find the invariant solutions. Invariant solutions are then used to reduce the order of PDE and/or reduce PDE to an ordinary differential equation (ODE) which in general is easier to solve. Futhermore, based on the Lie symmetry analysis, many other types of exact solutions can be obtained, such as a travelling wave solutions, soliton solutions, power series solutions, fundamental solutions [21], and so on. Another application of Lie symmetry analysis is the classification of group invariant solutions of DEs by means of optimal system, which provides the minimal list from which all other invariant solutions can be obtained. Thus, invariant solutions can be derived from an optimal system. Hence the optimal system can be used to construct a more abundant group of invariant solutions. In the past six decades there have been considerable developments in the complilation of literature on symmetry analysis of differential equations as evidenced by research papers and books $[4,5,6,14,20,21,23]$ including the references there in.

An outline of the study is as follows. In Chapter 1 a brief theoretical background of Lie symmetry analysis is given. In the remaining chapters, different models of the pseudo-
parabolic equation are studied. In Chapters 2 and 3, the Lie point symmetries are obtained for each model and the corresponding optimal system is derived. Thereafter symmetry reductions and group invariant solutions are obtained. In Chapter 4, the approximate symmetries are obtained and the approximate symmetry Lie algebra is used to construct approximate invariant solutions.

## Chapter 1

## Lie Point Symmetries of Differential Equations

In this chapter we introduce some basic methods of Lie symmetry analysis of differential equations (DEs) that are used throughout this work including the algorithm to determine the Lie point symmetries of PDEs based upon the references $[4,5,6,14,15,17,18,20$, 21, 23]. The definitions, theorems, proofs and notations in this chapter are based upon the aforementioned references.

In order for one to clearly get the concepts about Lie symmetry analysis, we will consider a general second-order PDE of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(t, x, u, u_{t}, u_{x}, u_{t t}, u_{t x}, u_{x x}\right)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in one dependent variable $u$ and two independent variables $t$ and $x$.

### 1.1 One parameter group of transformations

Definition 1.1.1. Invertible transformations of the variables $t, x, u$

$$
\bar{t}=f(t, x, u), \quad \bar{x}=g(t, x, u), \quad \bar{u}=h(t, x, u)
$$

are called symmetry transformations of (1.1) if they leave (1.1) form-invariant (has the same form) in the new variables $\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}_{t}, \bar{u}_{x}, \bar{u}_{t t}, \bar{u}_{t x}, \bar{u}_{x x}\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever (1.1) is satisfied.
Definition 1.1.2. A set $G$ of transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a}: \bar{t}=f(t, x, u, a), \quad \bar{x}=g(t, x, u, a), \quad \bar{u}=h(t, x, u, a) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a continuous one-parameter (local) Lie group of transformations (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ where $f, g, h$ are differentiable functions and $a$ is a real parameter which continuously takes values in a neighbourhood $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}$ of $a=0$ provided the group properties of closure, identity and inverse are satisfied, i.e.,
(i) Closure: If $T_{a}, T_{b} \in G$, where $a, b \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D}$ then

$$
T_{a} T_{b}=T_{c} \in G, \quad c=\phi(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}
$$

(ii) Identity: There exists $T_{0} \in G$ such that

$$
T_{0} T_{a}=T_{a} T_{0}=T_{a}
$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D} . T_{0}$ is known as the identity of the group.
(iii) Inverse: There exists $T_{a}^{-1}=T_{a^{-1}} \in G, a^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$
T_{a}^{-1}=T_{a^{-1}}=T_{0},
$$

for any $T_{0} \in G, a \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D} . T_{a}^{-1}$ is known as the inverse of the group.

The group property (i) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\bar{t}}=f(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u}, b)=f(f(t, x, u, a), b)=f(t, x, u, \phi(a, b)), \\
\overline{\bar{x}}=g(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u}, b)=g(g(t, x, u, a), b)=g(t, x, u, \phi(a, b)),  \tag{1.4}\\
\overline{\bar{u}}=h(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u}, b)=h(h(t, x, u, a), b)=h(t, x, u, \phi(a, b)) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The analytic function $\phi$ is called the group composition law.

For the rest of the study, we will use the term "a one-parameter group" to mean a continuous one-parameter (local) group.

Corrolary 1.1.1. Any one-parameter group of transformation is abelian, i.e.,

$$
T_{a} T_{b}=T_{b} T_{a}
$$

Definition 1.1.3. A group parameter $a$ is canonical if the group composition law is additive, i.e., $\phi(a, b)=a+b$.

If $a$ is canonical, then

$$
T_{b} T_{a}=T_{a+b}=T_{b+a}=T_{a} T_{b}
$$

Theorem 1.1.1. Given an arbitrary composition law $\phi(a, b)$ the canonical parameter $\tilde{a}$ is defined by

$$
\tilde{a}=\int_{0}^{a} \frac{d a}{A(a)},
$$

where

$$
A(a)=\left.\frac{\partial \phi(a, b)}{\partial b}\right|_{b=0}
$$

Definition 1.1.4. The transformations (1.3) form a symmetry group $G$ of $E$ if its invariant form (1.2) is satisfied whenever equation (1.1) holds.

### 1.2 Infinitisemal generator and Lie's equation

According to the Lie theory the construction of the symmetry group $G$ is equivalent to the determination of its infinitesimal transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t} \approx t+a \xi^{1}(t, x, u), \quad \bar{x} \approx x+a \xi^{2}(t, x, u), \quad \bar{u} \approx u+\eta(t, x, u) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by expanding (1.3) in powers of $a$ around $a=0$ (in a neighborhood $a=0$ identity) and setting
$\left.\frac{\partial f(t, x, u, a)}{\partial a}\right|_{a=0}=\xi^{1}(t, x, u),\left.\quad \frac{\partial g(t, x, u, a)}{\partial a}\right|_{a=0}=\xi^{2}(t, x, u),\left.\quad \frac{\partial h(t, x, u, a)}{\partial a}\right|_{a=0}=\eta(t, x, u)$.
The components $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}$ and $\eta$ are called the infinitesimals of (1.3). The vector $\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}, \eta\right)$ is the tangent vector at the point $(t, x, u)$ to the surface curve described by transformed points $(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u})$, and it is therefore called the tangent vector field of the group $G$.

One can now introduce the symbol $X$ (after Lie) of the infinitesimal transformations (1.5) by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t} \approx(1+X) t, \quad \bar{x} \approx(1+X) x, \quad \bar{u} \approx(1+X) u \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =\left(\xi^{1}(t, x, u), \xi^{2}(t, x, u), \eta(t, x, u)\right) \cdot \nabla \\
& =\xi^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and the operator $\nabla$ is the gradient vector operator $\nabla=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)$.
The operator (1.7) is known as the infinitesimal operator or generator of the group $G$.
Remark 1.2.1. $X((t, x, u))=\left(\xi^{1}(t, x, u), \xi^{2}(t, x, u), \eta(t, x, u)\right)$.
Theorem 1.2.1. Given infinitesimal transformations (1.5), or an infinitesimal operator (1.7) the transformations (1.3) of the corresponding group $G$ are determined by solving the Lie equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{t}}{d a}=\xi^{1}(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u}), \quad \frac{d \bar{x}}{d a}=\xi^{2}(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u}), \quad \frac{d \bar{u}}{d a}=\eta(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u}), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$
\left.f(t, x, u, a)\right|_{a=0}=t,\left.\quad g(t, x, u, a)\right|_{a=0}=x,\left.\quad h(t, x, u, a)\right|_{a=0}=u
$$

A one-parameter Lie group of transformation is equivalent to its infinitesimal operator, this allows to represent the solution of Lie equations in terms of Taylor series (exponential map)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{t}=\exp (a X) t, \quad \bar{x}=\exp (a X) x, \quad \bar{u}=\exp (a X) u \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp (a X)=1+a X+\frac{a^{2}}{2!}+\cdots=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j}}{j!} X^{j} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known as the Lie series operator.

### 1.3 Invariants

Definition 1.3.1. A point $(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is an invariant point if it remains unchanged by every transformation of a group $G$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u})=(f(t, x, u, a), g(t, x, u, a), h(t, x, u, a))=(t, x, u), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.3.1. A point $(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is an invariant point of a group $G$ with operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\xi^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{1}(t, x, u)=\xi^{2}(t, x, u)=\eta(t, x, u) . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.3.2. A function $F(t, x, u)$ is called an invariant of a group $G$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\bar{t}, \bar{x}, \bar{u})=F(f(t, x, u, a), g(t, x, u, a), h(t, x, u, a))=F(t, x, u) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t, x, u, a \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{D}$.
Theorem 1.3.2. A function $F(t, x, u)$ is an invariant of a group $G$ with generator $X$ if and only if $X(F)=0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(F)=\xi^{1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=\xi^{2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=\eta \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (1.15) is known as the infinitesimal criterion of invariance. From (1.15), oneparameter group has 2 functionally independent invariants (basis of invariants) taken from the left hand sides of 2 first integrals $J_{1}(t, x, u)=C_{1}$ and $J_{2}(t, x, u)=C_{2}$ of the characteristic equations for linear PDE (1.15). Any other invariant is a function of $J_{1}(t, x, u)=C_{1}$ and $J_{2}(t, x, u)=C_{2}$.

### 1.4 Prolongation formulas

Consider a $k$ th-order PDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(t, x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots, u_{(k)}\right)=0 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ and $x$ are two independent variables and $u$ is the dependent variable. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\xi^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the infinitesimal generator of the one-parameter group $G$ of transformation (1.3).
Definition 1.4.1. The extended infinitesimal generator $X^{[k]}$ of the prolonged group $G^{[k]}$ on the space $\left(t, x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots, u_{(k)}\right)$ is called the $k$ th prolongation of $X$, and denoted by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{[k]}=\xi^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\zeta_{i}\left(t, x, u, u_{(1)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(1)}}  \tag{1.18}\\
&+\cdots+\zeta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}\left(t, x, u, u_{(1)}, \cdots, u_{(k)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $\zeta$ s are determined recursively by the prolongation formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{i} & =D_{i}(\eta)-u_{j} D_{i}\left(\xi^{j}\right) \\
& =D_{i}(\eta)-u_{t} D_{i}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x} D_{i}\left(\xi^{2}\right) \\
\zeta_{i j} & =D_{j}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)-u_{i l} D_{j}\left(\xi^{l}\right) \\
& =D_{i}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)-u_{i t} D_{j}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{i x} D_{j}\left(\xi^{2}\right)  \tag{1.19}\\
& \vdots \\
\zeta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} & =D_{i_{k}}\left(\zeta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k-1}}\right)-u_{i_{i} \cdots i_{k-1} l} D_{i_{k}}\left(\xi^{l}\right) \\
& =D_{i_{k}}\left(\zeta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k-1}}\right)-u_{i_{i} \cdots i_{k-1} t} D_{i_{k}}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{i_{i} \cdots i_{k-1} x} D_{i_{k}}\left(\xi^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $i, j, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}=t, x$ and the total derivative operator $D_{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial i}+u_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+u_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}}+\cdots \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, if we consider a second order partial differential equation (1.1), the second prolongation of the prolonged group $G^{[2]}$ in a space $\left(t, x, u, u_{t}, u_{x}, u_{t t}, u_{t x}, u_{x x}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{[2]}=X+\zeta_{t} \partial_{u_{t}}+\zeta_{x} \partial_{u_{x}}+\zeta_{t t} \partial_{u_{t t}}+\zeta_{t x} \partial_{u_{t x}}+\zeta_{x x} \partial_{u_{x x}} . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $\zeta_{t}, \zeta_{x}, \zeta_{t x}$ and $\zeta_{x x}$, and are given by the formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{t} & =D_{t}(\eta)-u_{t} D_{t}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x} D_{t}\left(\xi^{2}\right) \\
\zeta_{x} & =D_{x}(\eta)-u_{t} D_{x}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{2}\right) \\
\zeta_{t t} & =D_{t}\left(\zeta_{t}\right)-u_{t t} D_{t}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{t x} D_{t}\left(\xi^{2}\right) \\
\zeta_{t x}=\zeta_{x t} & =D_{x}\left(\zeta_{t}\right)-u_{t t} D_{x}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{t x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{2}\right), \\
\zeta_{x x} & =D_{x}\left(\zeta_{x}\right)-u_{t x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x x} D_{x}\left(\xi^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{t}$ and $D_{x}$ are total derivatives with respect to $t$ and $x$ respectively given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+u_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+u_{t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\cdots,  \tag{1.22}\\
& D_{x}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+u_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+u_{t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+u_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the definitions of the total derivatives $D_{t}$ and $D_{x}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{t}= & \eta_{t}+u_{t}\left(\eta_{u}-\xi_{t}^{1}\right)-u_{t}^{2} \xi_{u}^{1}-u_{x} \xi_{t}^{2}-u_{x} u_{t} \xi_{u}^{2}  \tag{1.23}\\
\zeta_{x}= & \eta_{x}+u_{x}\left(\eta_{u}-\xi_{x}^{2}\right)-u_{x}^{2} \xi_{u}^{2}-u_{t} \xi_{x}^{1}-u_{x} u_{t} \xi_{u}^{1}  \tag{1.24}\\
\zeta_{t t}= & \eta_{t t}+2 u_{t} \eta_{t u}+u_{t t} \eta_{u}+u_{t}^{2} \eta_{u u}-2 u_{x t} \xi_{t}^{2}-u_{x} \xi_{t t}^{2}-2 u_{x} u_{t} \xi_{t u}^{2}-\xi_{u}^{2}\left(u_{x} u_{t t}+2 u_{t} u_{x t}\right) \\
& -u_{x} u_{t}^{2} \xi_{u u}^{2}-2 u_{t t} \xi_{t}^{1}-u_{t} \xi_{t t}^{1}-2 u_{t}^{2} \xi_{t u}^{1}-3 u_{t} u_{t t} \xi_{u}^{1}-u_{t}^{3} \xi_{u u}^{1}  \tag{1.25}\\
\zeta_{t x}= & \eta_{t x}+u_{t} \eta_{x u}+u_{x} \eta_{t u}+u_{x t} \eta_{u}+u_{t} u_{x} \eta_{u u}-u_{t t} \xi_{x}^{1}-u_{t x} \xi_{t}^{1}-\xi_{u}^{1}\left(u_{x} u_{t t}+2 u_{t} u_{t x}\right) \\
& -u_{t}\left(\xi_{x t}^{1}+u_{t} \xi_{x u}^{1}+u_{x} \xi_{t u}^{1}+u_{t} u_{x} \xi_{u u}^{1}\right)-u_{t x} \xi_{x}^{2}-u_{x x} \xi_{t}^{2}-\xi_{u}^{2}\left(u_{t} u_{x x}+2 u_{x} u_{x t}\right) \\
& -u_{x}\left(\xi_{t x}^{2}+u_{t} \xi_{x u}^{2}+u_{x} \xi_{t u}^{2}+u_{t} u_{x} \xi_{u u}^{2}\right)  \tag{1.26}\\
\zeta_{x x}= & \eta_{x x}+2 u_{x} \eta_{x u}+u_{x x} \eta_{u}+u_{x}^{2} \eta_{u u}-2 u_{x x} \xi_{x}^{2}-u_{x} \xi_{x x}^{2}-2 u_{x}^{2} \xi_{x u}^{2}-\xi_{u}^{1}\left(u_{t} u_{x x}+2 u_{x} u_{x t}\right) \\
& -u_{x}^{3} \xi_{u u}^{2}-2 u_{x t} \xi_{x}^{1}-u_{t} \xi_{x x}^{1}-2 u_{x} u_{t} \xi_{x u}^{1}-u_{x}^{2} u_{t} \xi_{u u}^{1}-3 u_{x} u_{x x} \xi_{u}^{2} \tag{1.27}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.5 Determining equations for Lie point symmetries

In this section we introduce Lie's algorithm for calculating Lie point symmetries of differential equations.

Definition 1.5.1. An invertible transformation acting on the space $(t, x, u)$ of $E$ is a point symmetry of $E$ provided it transform any solution of the equation into another solution of the same equation.

Theorem 1.5.1. Let $G$ be a group of infinitesimal transformations (1.5) admitted by $E$. Then $G$ consists of symmetries of $E$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{[2]}(E)=0 \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever (1.1) is satisfied for every group generator $X$ of $G$. Conversely, the operator $X$ is a point symmetry of (1.1) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{[2]}(E)=0, \quad \text { whenever } E=0 \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetry condition (1.29), also called the invariance criterion can be written compactly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X^{[2]}(E)\right|_{E=0}=0 \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\right|_{E=0}$ means evaluated at the equation $E=0$.
Definition 1.5.2. The equation (1.30) is called the determining equation, it is an overdetermined system of linear homogeneous PDEs for the unknowns $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}, \eta$ (infinitesimals) of the symmetry generator $X$.

Theorem 1.5.2. The solution of the determining system form a vector space, that is, any finite linear combination of the symmetries is again a symmetry.

Theorem (1.5.1) summarizes Lie's algorithm. Lie's algorithm for calculating Lie point symmetries of differential equations is explained in several textbooks, among them [5, 7, 20, 21]. We will adopt Lie's algorithm as explained in [18].

## Lie's algorithm

1. Write $E$ such that all the terms are on the left hand side.
2. Write the symmetry generator

$$
X=\xi^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
$$

3. Prolong the symmetry generator $X$ to the order which is the same as the order of $E$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{[k]}=X+\zeta_{i}\left(t, x, u, u_{(1)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{(1)}}+\cdots+\zeta_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}\left(t, x, u, u_{(1)}, \cdots, u_{(k)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)}}, \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variables $\zeta_{i}$ are given by (1.19).
4. Apply the prolonged generator $X^{[k]}$ on $E$ evaluated at $E=0$ which gives the symmetry condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X^{[k]}(E)\right|_{E=0}=0 \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. Substitute the $\zeta_{i}$ upon expansion of the symmetry condition and replace the derivatives which are to be eliminated.
6. Separate the expanded expression with respect to the derivatives of the dependent variables and their powers resulting from an over-determined system of linear homogeneous PDEs in terms of infinitesimals $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}$ and $\eta$.
7. Solve the over-determined system for the infinitesimals $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}$ and $\eta$.
8. Construct the one-parameter group using Theorem (1.2.1).

### 1.6 Lie algebra

Definition 1.6.1. A Lie algebra is a vector space $L$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with a binary operation $[-,-]: L \times L \rightarrow L$ called Lie bracket (also known as commutator), such that the following axioms are satisfied
(i) Bilinearity: If $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \in L$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$, then

$$
\left[a X_{1}+b X_{2}, X_{3}\right]=a\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right]+b\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right] .
$$

(ii) Skew-Symmetry: If $X_{1} \in L$, then

$$
\left[X_{1}, X_{1}\right]=0
$$

and this implies that, for all $X_{1}, X_{2} \in L$

$$
\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=-\left[X_{2}, X_{1}\right]
$$

(iii) Jacobi Identity: If $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \in L$, then

$$
\left[X_{1},\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right]\right]+\left[X_{2},\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right]\right]+\left[X_{3},\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]\right]=0
$$

Definition 1.6.2. A Lie bracket (commutator) $[-,-]$ on the set of vector fields $\mathcal{V}$ is defined by

$$
\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=X_{1}\left(X_{2}\right)-X_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)
$$

where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are operators defined respectively by

$$
X_{1}=\xi_{1}^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi_{1}^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta_{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
$$

and

$$
X_{2}=\xi_{2}^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi_{2}^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta_{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
$$

Theorem 1.6.1. The set of all symmetries forms a Lie algebra called a symmetry Lie algebra.

Definition 1.6.3. The dimension of a Lie algebra $L$ is the dimension of the finite vector space $L$. Finite-dimensional Lie algebra of dimension $r$ is denoted by $L_{r}$

Definition 1.6.4. Let $L_{r}$ be a Lie algebra over field $\mathbb{F}$, then a linear subspace $S$ of $L$ ( $S \subseteq L$ ) is a subalgebra of $L$ if it is closed under the Lie bracket of E , that is $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right] \in S$ if $X_{1}, X_{2} \in S$.

Definition 1.6.5. Let $I$ be a linear subspace of a Lie algebra $L$. Then $I$ is an ideal of $L$ if $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right] \in I$ whenever $X_{1} \in I$ and $X_{2} \in L$.

Definition 1.6.6. A Lie algebra $L$ is called abelian if the Lie bracket vanishes for all elements in $L$, that is, $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=0$ for all $X_{1}, X_{2} \in L$.

### 1.7 Invariant solution

Definition 1.7.1. A solution $u=F(t, x)$ of $E$ is invariant under the one-parameter group of transformation (1.3) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=F(\bar{t}, \bar{x}) . \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.7.2. A function $u=F(t, x)$ is said to be an invariant solution under the one-parameter group of transformation (1.3) of $E$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X(u-F(t, x))\right|_{u=F(t, x)}=0 \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.8 Conclusion

In this chapter Lie group analysis of PDEs was introduced, where notations, theorems and definitions that will be used throughout this work were presented. An algorithm that is used to calculate Lie point symmetries of PDEs due to Sophus Lie was summarized.

## Chapter 2

## Symmetries and Invariant Solutions of a pseudo-parabolic PDE: Model I

In this chapter we consider the pseudo-parabolic PDE (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u^{p} u_{x}+u^{q} u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are real numbers. We start by considering a general case where $p \neq q$ and $p, q \neq 0$ and perform Lie symmetry analysis, i.e, we find Lie point symmetries, then derive optimal systems, and subsequently perform symmetry reductions and construct group invariant solutions. Thereafter we consider particular cases of (2.1) for different values of $p$ and $q$ which arises from the analysis of the general case.

### 2.1 Calculation of Lie point symmetries

According to Lie's algorithm, the vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\xi^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a symmetry generator of (2.1) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{p} u_{x}+u^{q} u_{t x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{u_{t}=\left(u^{p} u_{x}+u^{q} u_{t x}\right)_{x}}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{[3]}$ is the third prolongation of $X$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{[3]}= & \xi^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\zeta_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+\zeta_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\zeta_{t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t t}}+\zeta_{t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x}} \\
& +\zeta_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x}}+\zeta_{t t t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t t t}}+\zeta_{t t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t t x}}+\zeta_{t x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x x}}+\zeta_{x x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\zeta_{t}-\left(p(p-1) u^{p-2} u_{x}^{2}+p u^{p-1} u_{x x}+q(q-1) u^{q-2} u_{x} u_{t x}+q u^{q-1} u_{t x x}\right) \eta\right. \\
-\left(2 p u^{p-1} u_{x}+q u^{q-1} u_{t x}\right) \zeta_{x}-q u^{q-1} u_{x} \zeta_{t x}-u^{p} \zeta_{x x}  \tag{2.4}\\
\left.-u^{q} \zeta_{t x x}\right)\left.\right|_{u_{t}=\left(u^{p} u_{x}+u^{q} u_{t x}\right)_{x}}=0
\end{array}
$$

where the coefficients $\zeta_{t}, \zeta_{x}, \zeta_{t x}, \zeta_{x x}$ are given respectively by equations (1.23), (1.24), (1.26), (1.27), and $\zeta_{t x x}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{t x x}=D_{t}\left(\zeta_{x x}\right)-u_{x x t} D_{t}\left(\xi^{1}\right)-u_{x x x} D_{t}\left(\xi^{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expansion of (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{t x x} & =u_{t x x} \eta_{u}-2 u_{t t x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u}^{1}+\xi_{x}^{1}\right)-2 u_{t x x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u}^{2}+\xi_{x}^{2}\right)+2 u_{t x}\left(u_{x} \eta_{u u}+\eta_{x u}\right) \\
& -u_{t t}\left(u_{x x} \xi_{u}^{1}+u_{x} \xi_{x u}^{1}+u_{x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u u}^{1}+\xi_{x u}^{1}\right)+\xi_{x x}^{1}\right) \\
& -u_{t x}\left(u_{x x} \xi_{u}^{2}+u_{x} \xi_{x u}^{2}+u_{x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u u}^{2}+\xi_{x u}^{2}\right)+\xi_{x x}^{2}\right) \\
& +u_{t}\left(u_{x x} \eta_{u u}+u_{x} \eta_{x u u}+u_{x}\left(u_{x} \eta_{u u u}+\eta_{x u u}\right)+\eta_{x x u}\right)-u_{t x x}\left(u_{t} \xi_{u}^{1}+\xi_{t}^{1}\right) \\
& -u_{x x x}\left(u_{t} \xi_{u}^{2}+\xi_{t}^{2}\right)+u_{x x} \eta_{t u}-2 u_{t x}\left(u_{t x} \xi_{u}^{1}+u_{t}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u u}^{1}+\xi_{x u}^{1}\right)+u_{x} \xi_{t u}^{1}+\xi_{t x}^{1}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& -2 u_{x x}\left(u_{t x} \xi_{u}^{2}+u_{t}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u u}^{2}+\xi_{x u}^{2}\right)+u_{x} \xi_{t u}^{2}+\xi_{t x}^{2}\right)+u_{x} \eta_{t x u}+u_{x}\left(u_{x} \eta_{t u u}+\eta_{t x u}\right) \\
& +\eta_{t x x}-u_{t}\left(u_{t x x} \xi_{u}^{1}+2 u_{t x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u u}^{1}+\xi_{x u}^{1}\right)+u_{t}\left(u_{x x} \xi_{u u}^{1}+u_{x} \xi_{x u u}^{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+u_{x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u u u}^{1}+\xi_{x u u}^{1}\right)+\xi_{x x u}^{1}\right)+u_{x x} \xi_{t u}^{1}+u_{x} \xi_{t x u}^{1}+u_{x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{t u u}^{1}+\xi_{t x u}^{1}\right)+\xi_{t x x}^{1}\right) \\
& -u_{x}\left(u_{t x x} \xi_{u}^{2}+2 u_{t x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u u}^{2}+\xi_{x u}^{2}\right)+u_{t}\left(u_{x x} \xi_{u u}^{2}+u_{x} \xi_{x u u}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+u_{x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{u u u}^{2}+\xi_{x u u}^{2}\right)+\xi_{x x u}^{2}\right)+u_{x x} \xi_{t u}^{2}+u_{x} \xi_{t x u}^{2}+u_{x}\left(u_{x} \xi_{t u u}^{2}+\xi_{t x u}^{2}\right)+\xi_{t x x}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of equations (1.23), (1.24), (1.26), (1.27), and (2.6) into (2.4) yields an overdetermined system of linear partial differential equations (determining equations) that can be solved for the coefficients $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}$ and $\eta$ since they are independent of the derivatives of the dependent variable $u$. Generating the determining equations manually is easy but it is a lengthy and tiring task. The method of Lie is algorithmic, as a result, symbolic manipulation software packages such as Mathematica [25], Maple, Maxima and

Reduce have been developed to perform this task. In this work we will use Mathematica software package YaLie [7]. Thus, the determining equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{u}^{1} & =0,  \tag{2.7}\\
\xi_{u}^{2} & =0,  \tag{2.8}\\
\eta_{u u} & =0,  \tag{2.9}\\
\xi_{x}^{1} & =0,  \tag{2.10}\\
\eta_{x u} & =0,  \tag{2.11}\\
\xi_{t}^{2} & =0,  \tag{2.12}\\
q u^{q-1} \eta_{x}-u^{q} \xi_{x x}^{2} & =0,  \tag{2.13}\\
u^{p} \eta_{x x}-\eta_{t}+u^{q} \eta_{t x x} & =0,  \tag{2.14}\\
q u^{q-1} \eta-2 u^{q} \xi_{x}^{2} & =0,  \tag{2.15}\\
(1-p) p u^{p-2} \eta-p u^{p-1} \eta_{u}+2 p u^{p-1} \xi_{x}^{2}-p u^{p-1} \xi_{t}^{1}-q u^{q-1} \eta_{t u} & =0,  \tag{2.16}\\
p u^{p-1} \eta-2 u^{p} \xi_{x}^{2}+u^{p} \xi_{t}^{1}+u^{q} \eta_{t u} & =0,  \tag{2.17}\\
(1-q) q u^{q-2} \eta-q u^{q-1} \eta_{u}+2 q u^{q-1} \xi_{x}^{2} & =0,  \tag{2.18}\\
2 p u^{p-1} \eta_{x}-u^{p} \xi_{x x}^{2}+q u^{q-1} \eta_{t x} & =0, \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the indicated variable. From equations (2.7) and (2.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{1}=a(t) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(t)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$. Equations (2.8) and (2.12) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2}=b(x), \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b(x)$ is an arbitrary function of $x$. Substituting equation (2.21) into equation (2.15) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{2 u b^{\prime}(x)}{q} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.9) is identically satisfied by (2.22). Substituting equations (2.20), (2.21), and (2.22) into equations (2.11), (2.13), (2.14), (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) reduce to the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
b^{\prime \prime}(x) & =0,  \tag{2.23}\\
a^{\prime}(t)+2(p-q) b^{\prime}(x) & =0 . \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (2.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x)=k_{1} x+k_{2}, \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are constants of integration. Substituting (2.25) into equation (2.24) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}(t)+2(p-q) k_{1}=0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (2.26) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=\frac{2 k_{1}(q-p) t}{q}+k_{3}, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{3}$ is a constant of integration. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi^{1} & =\frac{2 k_{1}(q-p) t}{q}+k_{3}, \\
\xi^{2} & =k_{1} x+k_{2},  \tag{2.28}\\
\eta & =\frac{2 k_{1} u}{q} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the Lie point symmetries of equation (2.1) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{2.29}\\
& X_{3}=\frac{2(q-p) t}{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \approx 2(q-p) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+q x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

We now consider particular cases of equation (2.1) and obtain corresponding Lie point symmetries.

Subcase 2.1.1. $p=q=\gamma \neq 0,1$.

When $p=q=\gamma \neq 0,1$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u^{\gamma} u_{x}+u^{\gamma} u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.30) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{2.31}\\
& X_{3}=\gamma x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 2.1.2. $p \neq 0,1$ and $q=0$.
When $p \neq 0,1$ and $q=0$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u^{p} u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.32) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{2.33}\\
& X_{3}=-p t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 2.1.3. $p=q=0$.
When $p=q=0$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u_{x x}+u_{t x x} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.34) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
X_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{2.35}\\
X_{3} & =u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \\
X_{c} & =c(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

The function $c(t, x)$ is a solution to equation (2.34).
Subcase 2.1.4. $p=0$ and $q=1$.
When $p=0$ and $q=1$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u_{x}+u u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.36) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{2.37}\\
& X_{3}=2 t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 2.1.5. $p=1$ and $q=0$.
When $p=1$ and $q=0$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.38) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
X_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x}  \tag{2.39}\\
X_{3} & =-t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 2.1.6. $p \neq 0,1$ and $q=1$.
When $p \neq 0,1$ and $q=1$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u^{p} u_{x}+u u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.40) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}  \tag{2.41}\\
& X_{3}=2(1-p) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 2.1.7. $p=q=1$.
When $p=q=1$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u u_{x}+u u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.42) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
X_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x}  \tag{2.43}\\
X_{3} & =x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 2.1.8. $p=0$ and $q \neq 0,1$.

When $p=0$ and $q \neq 0,1$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u_{x}+u^{q} u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.44) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{2.45}\\
& X_{3}=2 q t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+q x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 2.1.9. $p=1$ and $q \neq 0,1$.
When $p=1$ and $q \neq 0,1$ equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u u_{x}+u^{q} u_{t x}\right)_{x} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (2.46) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{2.47}\\
& X_{3}=2(q-1) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+q x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.1.1. Subcases 2.1.4, 2.1.6, 2.1.8 and 2.1.9 are recovered from the general case. Subcase 2.1.7 is recovered from subcase 2.1.1 whereas subcase 2.1.5 is obtained from subcase 2.1.2. Therefore, this amounts to considering the general case and subcases 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3 because they have different symmetry group.

### 2.2 Optimal system of subalgebras

In this section, we construct one-dimensional optimal system for the Lie algebra with basis (2.29) as an illustration, the rest are presented without detailed calculations.

Given a Lie algebra admitted by a differential equation, any linear combination of those Lie point symmetries can be used to perform symmetry reduction, and hence construct
an invariant solution of the equation. Since there may be an infinite number of such linear combinations, it may not be possible to list all of them. Rather than guessing or choosing a good combination, there is an effective and systematic way of classifying those combinations known as obtaining an optimal system, which is a collection of all non-unique linear combinations that represents all possible linear combinations.

More formally, an optimal system of subalgebras is a list of conjugacy inequivalent subalgebras in the Lie algebra, $L$, where any other subalgebra in $L$ is conjugate to only one of the subalgebras in that list using adjoint representation [20]. Patera and Winternitz [22] defined optimal system of subalgebras as a collection of pairwise non-conjugate subalgebras where two subalgebras in the Lie algebra are conjugate (similar) if there is a transformation from group $G$ which takes one subalgebra into the other.

There are three methods mostly used to construct an optimal system of subalgebras: Olver [20] suggested a method where the most general expression of the Lie algebra is simplified as much as possible by subjecting it to various adjoint trasformations. Patera and Winternitz [22] in their method, they first start by finding the subalgebras of Lie algebra of dimension $r \leq 4$, then classify the subalgebras of each such Lie algebra into conjugacy classes and finally present a representative of each class. Conjugacy in each case is considered under the group of inner automorphism, i.e., the Lie group obtained by the exponentiation of the adjoint representation of the considered Lie algebra. In case the Lie algebra is of dimension $r>4$, it is decomposed into decomposable parts then find the subalgebras for each and then combining them again. Method suggested by Ovsiannikov [21] construct an optimal system by using a global matrix for the adjoint transformation. In this work the former is used.

The adjoint transformation is expressed as the following series which is given by Baker-Campbell-Hausdorf formula [22]:

$$
\begin{align*}
A d\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right) X_{j} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{k}}{k!}\left(A d X_{i}\right)^{k} X_{j}  \tag{2.48}\\
& =X_{j}-\mu\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{i},\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]\right]-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}\left[X_{i},\left[X_{i},\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]\right]\right]+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a parameter, and $\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]$ is the Lie bracket of $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ given by

$$
\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]=X_{i}\left(X_{j}\right)-X_{j}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

Before we can construct an optimal system, we will illustrate with examples how a Lie bracket $\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right.$ ] of two symmetries $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ is obtained and how the adjoint representation $A d\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right) X_{j}$ of $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ is constructed.

Example 2.2.1. Consider two symmetries of (2.1), say $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$, then a non-zero commutator $\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right.$ ] is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right] } & =X_{1}\left(X_{3}\right)-X_{3}\left(X_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(2(q-p) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+q x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)-\left(2(q-p) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+q x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& =2(q-p) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& =2(q-p) X_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The full calculations of the commutators $\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]$ where $i, j=1,2,3$ are summarised in Table 2.1. To compute the adjoint representation, say $A d\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right) X_{j}$ of $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ we

| $\nearrow\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $2(q-p) X_{1}$ |
| $X_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $q X_{2}$ |
| $X_{3}$ | $-2(q-p) X_{1}$ | $-q X_{2}$ | 0 |

Table 2.1: Table of commutators of (2.1)
will make use of Table 2.1 and equation (2.48). As an illustration we will consider two examples.

Example 2.2.2. Consider two symmetries $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$ of (2.1), the adjoint representation $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{1}}\right) X_{3}$ of $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{1}}\right) X_{3} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{k}}{k!}\left(A d X_{1}\right)^{k} X_{3} \\
& =X_{3}-\mu\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right]+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{1},\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right]\right]-\cdots \\
& =X_{3}-\left(2(q-p) X_{1}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{1}, 2(q-p) X_{1}\right]-\cdots \\
& =X_{3}-2(q-p) \mu X_{1}+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}(0) \\
& =X_{3}-2(q-p) \mu X_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.2.3. Consider two symmetries $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ of (2.1), the adjoint representation $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{2}}\right) X_{3}$ of $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{3}}\right) X_{2} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{k}}{k!}\left(A d X_{3}\right)^{k} X_{2} \\
& =X_{2}-\mu\left[X_{3}, X_{2}\right]+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{3},\left[X_{3}, X_{2}\right]\right]-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}\left[X_{3},\left[X_{3},\left[X_{3}, X_{2}\right]\right]\right]+\cdots \\
& =X_{2}-\mu\left(-q X_{2}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{3},-q X_{2}\right]-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}\left[x_{3},\left[X_{3},-q X_{2}\right]\right]+\cdots \\
& =X_{2}-\mu\left(-q X_{2}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}(-q)^{2} X_{2}-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}(-q)^{2}\left[X_{3}, X_{2}\right]+\cdots \\
& =X_{2}-\mu\left(-q X_{2}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}(-q)^{2} X_{2}-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}(-q)^{3} X_{2}+\cdots \\
& =\left(1+\mu q+\frac{\left.(\mu q)^{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{(\mu q)^{3}}{3!}+\cdots\right) X_{2} \\
& =e^{\mu q} X_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The full calculations of the adjoint representations $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right) X_{j}$ where $i, j=1,2,3$ are summarised in Table 2.2. According to the method of constructing one-dimensional

| $A d\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right) X_{j}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}-2(q-p) \mu X_{1}$ |
| $X_{2}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}-q \mu X_{2}$ |
| $X_{3}$ | $e^{2(q-p) \mu} X_{1}$ | $e^{q \mu} X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ |

Table 2.2: Table of Adjoint representations of (2.1)
optimal system suggested by Olver [20], we set up a non-zero vector field or operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}+a_{3} X_{3} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

with arbitrary coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$. The task is to simplify as many of the coefficients $a_{i}$ as possible by acting on it by $A d\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right)$ of a group generated by $X_{i}$, this process of adjoint action eliminates $a_{j} X_{j}$ where $j$ can be equal to $i$. The process is repeated until no further simplification is possible.

Firstly, suppose that $a_{3} \neq 0$ and set $a_{3}=1$ without loss of generality. Then the vector $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}+X_{3} \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

To eliminate the coefficient of $X_{1}$, we act on such a vector $X$ by $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\frac{a_{1}}{2(q-p)} X_{1}}\right)$, the vector becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{\prime} & =A d\left(e^{\frac{a_{1}}{2(q-p)} X_{1}}\right) X \\
& =a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}+X_{3}-\frac{a_{1}}{2(q-p)} 2(q-p) X_{1}  \tag{2.51}\\
& =a_{2} X_{2}+X_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

We continue to eliminate the coefficient of $X_{2}$ by acting on $X^{\prime}$ by $A d\left(e^{\frac{a_{2}}{q} X_{2}}\right)$, then the vector becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{\prime \prime} & =\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\frac{a_{2}}{q} X_{2}}\right) X^{\prime} \\
& =a_{2} X_{2}+X_{3}-\frac{a_{2}}{q} q X_{2}  \tag{2.52}\\
& =X_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $X$ is equivalent to $X_{3}$ under the adjoint representation, that is, every onedimensional subalgebra generated by a vector $X$ with $a_{3} \neq 0$ is equivalent to a subalgebra spanned by $X_{3}$.

Secondly, suppose that $a_{3}=0$ and $a_{1} \neq 0$. Scaling $X$ if necessary we assume that $a_{1}=1$, then the vector $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2} \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We act on $X$ by $A d\left(e^{\mu X_{3}}\right)$ a group generated by $X_{3}$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{\prime} & =A d\left(e^{\mu X_{3}}\right) X  \tag{2.54}\\
& =e^{2 \mu(q-p)} X_{1}+a_{2} e^{\mu q} X_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The vector $X^{\prime}$ is a scalar multiple of the vector $X^{\prime \prime}=X_{1}+a_{2} e^{2 \mu p-\mu q} X_{2}$, hence depending on the sign of $a_{2}$ we can make the coefficient of $X_{2}$ either +1 or -1 . Thus any onedimensional subalgebra generated by $X$ with $a_{3}=0$ and $a_{1} \neq 0$ is equivalent to a subalgebra spanned by $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ where $\delta=+1,-1$. No further simplification is possible.

Thirdly, suppose that $a_{3}=0, a_{2}=0$ and $a_{1} \neq 0$. Scaling $X$ we let $a_{1}=1$, then the vector $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{1} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can not be simplified further. Thus any subalgebra generated by $X$ with $a_{3}=0$, $a_{2}=0$ and $a_{1} \neq 0$ is equivalent to a subalebra spanned by $X_{1}$. No further simplifications on $X$ are possible.

Lastly, suppose that $a_{1}=a_{3}=0$ and $a_{2} \neq 0$. Scaling $X$ we let $a_{2}=1$, then the vector $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{2} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can not be simplified further. Thus any subalgebra generated by $X$ with $a_{1}=$ $a_{3}=0$ and $a_{2} \neq 0$ is equivalent to a subalebra spanned by $X_{2}$. No further simplifications on $X$ are possible.

In summary, an optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras is spanned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{3} \\
& X_{1}+\delta X_{2} ; \quad \delta= \pm 1  \tag{2.57}\\
& X_{2} \\
& X_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Optimal systems of different subcases of equation (2.1) considered in Section 2.1 are summarized in Table 2.3.

| Subcase | Subalgebras | Conditions on constant(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.1 .1 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, a X_{1}+X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1, a \neq 0$ |
| 2.1 .2 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, b X_{2}+X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1, b \neq 0$ |
| 2.1 .3 | $\left\{\right.$ Linear combination of $\left.X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right\}$ |  |
| 2.1 .4 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1$ |
| 2.1 .5 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, b X_{2}+X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1, b \neq 0$ |
| 2.1 .6 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1$ |
| 2.1 .7 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}, a X_{1}+X_{3}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1, a \neq 0$ |
| 2.1 .8 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1$ |
| 2.1 .9 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1$ |

Table 2.3: Optimal system of one-dimensional subalgeras of subcases of (2.1)

### 2.3 Symmetry reductions and invariant solutions

In this section, we perform all possible similarity (symmetry) reductions and construct invariant solutions using the optimal system obtained in Section 2.2. That is, a symmetry or linear combination of symmetries is utilized to reduce a PDE into an (ODE) and then an appropriate fundamental method is employed to solve the ODE. Below we give calculations for subcases 2.1.3, 2.1.7 and present the rest in a tabular form.

## Subcase 2.1.3

We note that the symmetry Lie algebra is infinite dimensional and thus, only the finite part of the Lie algebra is considered. Invariance under one of $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ is trivial, hence we take any linear combination of $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$.
(a) Invariance under $X_{1}+X_{2}$. The characteristic equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{1}=\frac{d x}{1}=\frac{d u}{0} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

which give similarity variables as $J_{1}=x-t$ and $J_{2}=u$, therefore the invariant solution $J_{2}=f\left(J_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=f(x-t) \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.59) into (2.34) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}-f^{\prime \prime}-f^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "'" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=x-t$, and it solves to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(J_{1}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\frac{(1-\sqrt{5}) J_{1}}{2}\right)\left[-K_{1}(1+\sqrt{5})+K_{2}(-1+\sqrt{5}) \exp \left(\sqrt{5} J_{1}\right)\right]}{2}+K_{3} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ are arbitrary constants. Finally the invariant solution becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\frac{\exp \left(\frac{(1-\sqrt{5})(x-t)}{2}\right)\left[K_{2}(\sqrt{5}-1) \exp (\sqrt{5}(x-t))-K_{1}(1+\sqrt{5})\right]}{2}+K_{3} . \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Invariance under $X_{1}+X_{3}$. The characteristics equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{1}=\frac{d x}{0}=\frac{d u}{u} . \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the characteristic equation give the similarity variables as $J_{1}=x$ and $J_{2}=u e^{-t}$. Therefore the invariant solution $J_{2}=f\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=e^{t} g(x) \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.64) into (2.34) gives the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
g-2 g^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ""'" denotes differentiation with respect to the variable $J_{1}=x$. The solution of equation (2.65) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=K_{4} \exp \left\{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\}+K_{5} \exp \left\{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\} \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{4}$ and $K_{5}$ are arbitrary constants. Hence the invariant solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=K_{4} \exp \left\{t+\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\}+K_{5} \exp \left\{t-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\} \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Invariance under $X_{1}+X_{2}-X_{3}$. The characteristic equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{1}=\frac{d x}{1}=\frac{d u}{-u} \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and yields the invariants $J_{1}=x-t$ and $J_{2}=u e^{t}$. Therefore the invariant solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=e^{-t} h(x-t) \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.69) into (2.34) gives the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
h+h^{\prime}-h^{\prime \prime \prime}=0, \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "'" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=x-t$. Using Mathematica [25], the approximate roots to the auxilliary equation of (2.70) are $r_{1}=1.32472$ and $r_{2,3}=-0.662359 \pm 0.56228 i$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(J_{1}\right)=K_{6} e^{r_{1} J_{1}}+e^{r_{2} J_{1}}\left[K_{7} \cos \left(r_{3} J_{1}\right)+K_{8} \sin \left(r_{3} J_{1}\right)\right] \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{6}, K_{7}$ and $K_{8}$ are arbitrary constants. Therefore, the invariant solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=e^{-t}\left[K_{6} e^{(x-t) r_{1}}+e^{(x-t) r_{2}}\left(K_{7} \cos \left[r_{3}(x-t)\right]+K_{8} \sin \left[r_{3}(x-t)\right]\right)\right] \tag{2.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) Invariance under $\alpha X_{2}+X_{3} ; \alpha \neq 0$. The characteristic equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{0}=\frac{d x}{\alpha}=\frac{d u}{u} \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

which give the invariants $J_{1}=t$ and $J_{2}=u e^{-x / \alpha}$. Therefore the invariant solution $J_{2}=R\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=e^{x / \alpha} R(t) \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.74) into (2.34) gives the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right) R^{\prime}(t)+R(t)=0 \tag{2.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "/"" denotes differentiation with respect to the variable $J_{1}=t$ and the solution is nonzero provided $\alpha \neq \pm 1$. The solution of equation (2.75) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=K_{9} \exp \left\{\frac{t}{\alpha^{2}-1}\right\} \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{9}$ is an arbitrary constant. Hence the invariant solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=K_{9} \exp \left\{\frac{x}{\alpha}+\frac{t}{\alpha^{2}-1}\right\} \tag{2.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Subcase 2.1.7

Invariances under $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are trivial hence not considered.
(a) Invariance under $X_{3}$. The characteristics equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{0}=\frac{d x}{x}=\frac{d u}{2 u} \tag{2.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

which give invariants as $J_{1}=t$ and $J_{2}=u / x^{2}$. The invariant solution $J_{2}=f\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=x^{2} f(t) \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.79) into (2.42) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}-6 f^{2}-6 f f^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "'" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=t$. The solution of equation (2.80) is given implicitly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6 f(t)}+\ln f(t)=-x+K_{9} \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{9}$ is an arbitrary constant.
(b) Invariance under $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$. The characteristics equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{1}=\frac{d x}{\delta}=\frac{d u}{0} \tag{2.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

which give the invariants as $J_{1}=x-\delta t$ and $J_{2}=u$, hence the invariant solution $J_{2}=g\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=g(x-\delta t) \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.83) into (2.42) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta g g^{\prime \prime \prime}+\delta g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-g g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime 2}-\delta g^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "'" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=x-\delta t$.
(c) Invariance under $a X_{1}+X_{3}$. The characteristics equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{a}=\frac{d x}{x}=\frac{d u}{2 u} \tag{2.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

which give the invariants as $J_{1}=x e^{-t / a}$ and $J_{2}=u e^{-2 t / a}$. Therefore the invariant solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=e^{2 t / a} h\left(x e^{-t / a}\right) \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.86) into (2.42) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1} h^{\prime}+(1+a) h^{2}-J_{1} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}-2 h+a h h^{\prime \prime}-J_{1} h h^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "/"" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=x e^{-t / a}$.

The group invariant solutions for the general case and other subcases are summarized in Table 2.4. The nonzero functions $F, G, F_{i}, G_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ of their respective arguments satisfy the ODEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 F+2 p(p-q) F^{p-1} F^{2}+q F^{q-1}\left[(q-2) F^{2}+q z F^{\prime} F^{\prime \prime}\right]+2(p-q) F^{p} F^{\prime \prime} \\
& -q z F^{\prime}+F^{q}\left[2(q-1) F^{\prime \prime}+q z F^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]=0,  \tag{2.88}\\
& \left(p G^{2}+G G^{\prime \prime}\right) G^{p-1}-\delta G^{\prime}\left(q G^{q-1} G^{\prime \prime}-1\right)-\delta G^{q} G^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,  \tag{2.89}\\
& \gamma^{2} F_{1}^{\prime}-2(2+\gamma)\left(F_{1}^{\gamma+1}+F_{1}^{\gamma} F_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0,  \tag{2.90}\\
& c G_{1}^{\prime}+G_{1}^{\gamma-1}\left[\gamma{G_{1}^{\prime}}^{2}-c \gamma G_{1}^{\prime} G_{1}^{\prime \prime}+G_{1}\left(G_{1}^{\prime \prime}-c G_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right]=0,  \tag{2.91}\\
& \left(2 H_{1}-\gamma \kappa H_{1}^{\prime}\right) H_{1}^{1-\gamma}+\gamma(\gamma-a-2){H_{1}^{\prime}}^{2}+\gamma^{2} \kappa H_{1}^{\prime} H_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
& +H_{1}\left[(2 \gamma-a-2) H_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\gamma \kappa H_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]=0,  \tag{2.92}\\
& F_{2}^{2}+p^{2} F_{2}^{p}{F_{2}^{\prime 2}}^{2}-F_{2} F_{2}^{\prime \prime}+p F_{2}^{p+1} F_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0,  \tag{2.93}\\
& \delta G_{2}^{\prime}+p G_{2}^{p-1} G_{2}^{\prime 2}+G_{2}^{p} G_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\delta G_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,  \tag{2.94}\\
& p\left(p H_{2}^{\prime 2}+H_{2} H_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) H_{2}{ }^{p-1}+H_{2}-b H_{2}^{\prime}-H_{2}^{\prime \prime}+b H_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 \text {, }  \tag{2.95}\\
& F_{4}^{\prime 2}+\phi F_{4}^{\prime}\left(1-F_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)+2 F_{4}^{\prime \prime}-F_{4}\left(2+\phi F_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=0,  \tag{2.96}\\
& \delta G_{4}^{\prime}\left(G_{4}^{\prime \prime}-1\right)-G_{4}^{\prime \prime}+\delta G_{4} G_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,  \tag{2.97}\\
& F_{5}+F_{5}^{\prime 2}-F_{5}^{\prime \prime}+F_{5} F_{5}^{\prime \prime}=0,  \tag{2.98}\\
& \delta G_{5}^{\prime}+{G_{5}^{\prime}}^{2}+G_{5} G_{5}^{\prime \prime}-\delta G_{5}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,  \tag{2.99}\\
& \left(b-H_{5}^{\prime}\right) H_{5}^{\prime}+H_{5}^{\prime \prime}-H_{5}\left(1+H_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)-b H_{5}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,  \tag{2.100}\\
& 2(p-1)\left(p{F_{6}^{\prime 2}}^{2}+F_{6} F_{6}^{\prime \prime}\right) F_{6}^{p-1}+F_{6}^{\prime}\left[\omega\left(F_{6}^{\prime \prime}-1\right)-F_{6}^{\prime}\right]+F_{6}\left(2+\omega F_{6}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=0,  \tag{2.101}\\
& \delta G_{6}^{\prime}\left(G_{6}^{\prime \prime}-1\right)+\delta G_{6} G_{6}^{\prime \prime \prime}-G_{6}^{p-1}\left(p{G_{6}^{\prime}}^{2}+G_{6} G_{6}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0,  \tag{2.102}\\
& {\left[q \theta F_{7}^{\prime}-2\left(F_{7}-q F_{7}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] F_{7}^{1-q}-q(q-2) F_{7}^{\prime 2}-q^{2} \theta F_{7}^{\prime} F_{7}^{\prime \prime}} \\
& -F_{7}\left[2(q-1) F_{7}^{\prime \prime}+q \theta F_{7}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]=0,  \tag{2.103}\\
& \delta G_{7}^{\prime}\left(q G_{7}^{q-1} G_{7}^{\prime \prime}-1\right)-G_{7}^{\prime \prime}+\delta G_{7}^{q} G_{7}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,  \tag{2.104}\\
& {\left[F_{8}^{\prime}\left(q \vartheta+2[q-1] F_{8}^{\prime}\right)+2 F_{8}\left([q-1] F_{8}^{\prime \prime}-1\right)\right] F_{8}^{1-q}-q(q-2) F_{8}^{\prime 2}} \\
& -q^{2} \vartheta F_{8}^{\prime} F_{8}^{\prime \prime}-F_{8}\left[2(q-1) F_{8}^{\prime \prime}+q \vartheta F_{8}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]=0,  \tag{2.105}\\
& G_{8}^{\prime}\left(\delta-\delta q G_{8}^{q-1} G_{8}^{\prime \prime}+G_{8}^{\prime}\right)+G_{8} G_{8}^{\prime \prime}-\delta G_{8}^{q} G_{8}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 . \tag{2.106}
\end{align*}
$$

| Equation | Invariant solution | Generated by sublagebra |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(2.1)$ | $t^{1 /(q-p)} F(z): z=t^{q / 2(p-q)} x$ | $X_{3}$ |
| $(2.30)$ | $G(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |
|  | $x^{2 / \gamma} F_{1}(t)$ | $X_{3}$ |
|  | $G_{1}(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |
| $(2.32)$ | $e^{2 t / a} H_{1}(\kappa): \kappa=x e^{-\gamma t / a}$ | $a X_{1}+X_{3}$ |
|  | $t^{-1 / p} F_{2}(x)$ | $X_{3}$ |
| $(2.36)$ | $G_{2}(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |
|  | $t^{-1 / p} H_{2}(\varsigma): \varsigma=x+(b \ln t) / p$ | $b X_{2}+X_{3}$ |
|  | $G_{4}(\phi): \phi=t^{-1 / 2} x$ | $X_{3}$ |
| $(2.38)$ | $t^{-1} F_{5}(x)$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |
|  | $G_{5}(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{3}$ |
|  | $t^{-1} H_{5}(\psi): x+b \ln t$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |
|  | $t^{1 /(1-p)} F_{6}(\omega): \omega=t^{-1 / 2(1-p)} x$ | $b X_{2}+X_{3}$ |
| $(2.40)$ | $G_{6}(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{3}$ |
| $(2.44)$ | $G_{8}(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |
|  | $t_{7}^{1 /(q-1)} F_{8}(\vartheta): \vartheta=t^{1 / 2(1-q)} x$ | $X_{3}$ |

Table 2.4: Group invariant solutions of (2.1) and subcases of (2.1)

### 2.4 Graphical Solutions

In this section, we present graphical solutions of group invariant solutions that were expressed explicitly in terms of independent variables $t$ and $x$. Graphs of exact solutions of subcase 2.1.3 are presented below.


Figure 2.1: Solution (2.62) with $K_{1}=K_{2}=1, K_{3}=0$.


Figure 2.2: Solution (2.67) with $K_{4}=K_{5}=1$.


Figure 2.3: Solution (2.72) with $K_{6}=K_{7}=K_{8}=1$.


Figure 2.4: Solution (2.77) with $K_{9}=1, \alpha=2$.

### 2.5 Conclusion

In this chapter we obtained the symmetry Lie algebra of the pseudo-parabolic PDE for power law in diffusion coefficient with constant viscosity, different subcases were considered. Optimal systems of one-dimensional subalgebras were then derived, and subsequently used to perform symmetry reductions and construct group invariant solutions. Graphical solutions were presented where group invariant solutions were expressed explicitly in terms of the independent variables.

## Chapter 3

## Symmetries and Invariant Solutions of a pseudo-parabolic PDE: Model II

In this chapter we consider the pseudo-parabolic PDE (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(e^{m u}\left(u+e^{-n u} u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly, we perform Lie symmetry analysis of a general of a general case $m \neq n$ for $m, n>0$. Secondly, we consider particular cases of (3.1) for different values of $m$ and $n$ which arises from the analysis of the general case and other cases of interest. In each case the Lie point symmetries are obtained and then used to perform symmetry reductions and/or construct group invariant solutions.

### 3.1 Lie point symmetries

According to Lie's algorithm, the generator of Lie point symmetries of (3.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\xi^{1}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2}(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta(t, x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(e^{m u}\left(u+e^{-n u} u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(3.1)}=0, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{[3]}$ is the third prolongation of $X$ given by

$$
X^{[3]}=\xi^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\xi^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\zeta_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+\zeta_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\zeta_{t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x}}+\zeta_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x}}+\zeta_{t x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x x}} .
$$

From (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(-e^{(m-n) u} \zeta_{t x x}-(m-2 n) e^{(m-n) u} u_{x} \zeta_{t x}+\left(1+n e^{(m-n) u}\left((m-n) u_{x}^{2}+u_{x x}\right)\right) \zeta_{t}\right. \\
-e^{m u}\left(1-n e^{-n u} u_{t}\right) \zeta_{x x}-e^{(m-n) u}\left(2 u_{x}\left(e^{n u} m+n(n-m) u_{t}\right)+(m-2 n) u_{t x}\right) \zeta_{x}  \tag{3.4}\\
+e^{(m-n) u}\left(-e^{n u} m\left(m u_{x}^{2}+u_{x x}\right)\right. \\
\left.\left.+(n-m)\left(-n\left((m-n) u_{x}^{2}+u_{x x}\right) u_{t}+(m-2 n) u_{x} u_{t x}+u_{t x x}\right)\right) \eta\right)\left.\right|_{(3.1)}=0,
\end{array}
$$

where the coefficients $\zeta_{t}, \zeta_{x}, \zeta_{t x}, \zeta_{x x}$ are given respectively by equations (1.23), (1.24), (1.26), (1.27), and (2.6). Substitution of equations (1.23), (1.24), (1.26), (1.27), and (2.6) into (3.4) yields an overdetermined system of linear homogeneous partial differential equations (determining equations) that can be solved for the coefficients $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}$ and $\eta$ of the symmetry generator (3.2). Generating the determining equations manually is easy but tedious, often some terms are omitted by mistake and thus, lead to the wrong solution.

With the aid of YaLie [7] software package, the determining equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{u}^{1} & =0,  \tag{3.5}\\
\xi_{u}^{2} & =0,  \tag{3.6}\\
\xi_{x}^{1} & =0,  \tag{3.7}\\
\xi_{t}^{2} & =0,  \tag{3.8}\\
n \eta_{u}-\eta_{u u} & =0,  \tag{3.9}\\
(2 n-m) \eta_{u}-2 \eta_{u u} & =0,  \tag{3.10}\\
\left(2 m n-2 n^{2}\right) \eta_{u}+(3 n-m) \eta_{u u}-\eta_{u u u} & =0,  \tag{3.11}\\
(2 n-m) \eta_{x}-2 \eta_{x u}+\xi_{x x}^{2} & =0,  \tag{3.12}\\
n \eta-n e^{-n u} \eta_{t}+\xi_{t}^{1}+e^{-n u} \eta_{t u} & =0,  \tag{3.13}\\
\left(2 m n-2 n^{2}\right) \eta_{x}+(4 n-m) \eta_{x u}-2 \eta_{x u u}-n \xi_{x x}^{2} & =0,  \tag{3.14}\\
m n \eta+m \eta_{u}+\eta_{u u}-\left(m n e^{-n u}-n^{2} e^{-n u}\right) \eta_{t}+m \xi_{t}^{1}-\left(2 n e^{-n u}-m e^{-n u}\right) \eta_{t u} & \\
+e^{-n u} \eta_{t u u} & =0, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{x x}-\eta_{t}+e^{-n u} \eta_{t x x} & =0,  \tag{3.16}\\
(n-m) \eta+2 \xi_{x}^{2}+n e^{(m-n) u} \eta_{x x}-e^{(m-n) u} \eta_{x x u} & =0,  \tag{3.17}\\
2 m \eta_{x}+2 \eta_{x u}-\xi_{x x}^{2}-\left(2 n e^{-n u}-m e^{-n u}\right) \eta_{t x}+2 e^{-n u} \eta_{t x u} & =0, \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the indicated variable(s).

From equations (3.5) and (3.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{1}=\xi^{1}(t) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (3.6) and (3.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2}=\xi^{2}(x) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$
m \eta_{u}=0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\eta(t, x), \quad \text { since } m \neq 0 . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=l_{1}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}$ is a constant of integration. From (3.12) and (3.22), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2}(x)=l_{2} x+l_{3}, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$ are constants of integration. Substituting (3.22) and (3.23) into (3.17) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}=\frac{2}{m-n} l_{2}=\eta \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, substituting equations (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24) into equations (3.13) and (3.15) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{1}(t)=\frac{2 n l_{2} t}{n-m}+l_{3}, \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{3}$ is a constant of integration. The remaining equations are identically satisfied. Therefore the Lie point symmetries of (3.1) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{3.26}\\
& X_{3}=\frac{2 n t}{n-m} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2}{m-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \approx 2 n t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+(n-m) x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

We now consider particular cases of (3.1) and find corresponding Lie point symmetries.
Subcase 3.1.1. $m=n$.
When $m=n$ equation (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(e^{m u}\left(u+e^{-m u} u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (3.27) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{3.28}\\
& X_{3}=m t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 3.1.2. $m=0$ and $n \neq 0$.

When $m=0$ and $n \neq 0$ equation (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(u+e^{-n u} u_{t}\right)_{x x} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (3.29) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}  \tag{3.30}\\
& X_{3}=2 n t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+n x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 3.1.3. $m \neq 0$ and $n=0$.

When $m \neq 0$ and $n=0$ equation (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(e^{m u}\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lie point symmetries of (3.31) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
X_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x}  \tag{3.32}\\
X_{3} & =m x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.1.1. Subcases 3.1.1, 3.1.2 and 3.1.3 are recovered from the general case.

### 3.2 Optimal system of subalgebras

All the cases in the last section have three-dimensional Lie algebra, any linear combination of the Lie point symmetries can be used to perform symmetry reduction, and hence construct an invariant solution of the equation. However, in order to obtain all possible linear combinations without guessing or a good combination, an optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras is constructed. In constructing one-dimensional system [20], we illustrate with an example by considering the Lie algebra having the basis (3.26), the rest of the cases are presented without derivation.

Example 3.2.1. Consider two symmetries of (3.1), say $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$, then a non-zero commutator $\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right.$ ] is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right] } & =X_{1}\left(X_{3}\right)-X_{3}\left(X_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(2 n t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+(n-m) x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)-\left(2 n t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+(n-m) x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& =2 n \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& =2 n X_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The full calculations of the commutators $\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right.$ ] where $i, j=1,2,3$ are summarised in Table 3.1. To compute the adjoint representation, say $A d\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right) X_{j}$ of $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ we

| $\nearrow\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $2 n X_{1}$ |
| $X_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $(n-m) X_{2}$ |
| $X_{3}$ | $-2 n X_{1}$ | $-(n-m) X_{2}$ | 0 |

Table 3.1: Table of commutators of (3.1)
will make use of Table 3.1 and equation (2.48). As an illustration we will consider two examples.

Example 3.2.2. Consider two symmetries $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ of (3.1), the adjoint representation
$\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{2}}\right) X_{3}$ of $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{2}}\right) X_{3} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{k}}{k!}\left(A d X_{2}\right)^{k} X_{3} \\
& =X_{3}-\mu\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right]+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{2},\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right]\right]-\cdots \\
& =X_{3}-\mu(n-m) X_{2}+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{2},(n-m) X_{2}\right]-\cdots \\
& =X_{3}-\mu(n-m) X_{2}+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}(0) \\
& =X_{3}-\mu(n-m) X_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3.2.3. Consider two symmetries $X_{3}$ and $X_{1}$ of (3.1), the adjoint representation $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{1}}\right) X_{3}$ of $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A d\left(e^{\mu X_{3}}\right) X_{1} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{k}}{k!}\left(A d X_{3}\right)^{k} X_{1} \\
& =X_{1}-\mu\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right]+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{3},\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right]\right]-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}\left[X_{3},\left[X_{3},\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right]\right]\right]+\cdots \\
& =X_{1}-\mu\left(-2 n X_{1}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{3},-2 n X_{1}\right]-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}\left[x_{3},\left[X_{3},-2 n X_{1}\right]\right]+\cdots \\
& =X_{1}-\mu\left(-2 n X_{1}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}(-2 n)^{2} X_{1}-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}(-2 n)^{2}\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right]+\cdots \\
& =X_{1}-\mu\left(-2 n X_{1}\right)+\frac{\mu^{2}}{2!}(-2 n)^{2} X_{1}-\frac{\mu^{3}}{3!}(-2 n)^{3} X_{1}+\cdots \\
& =\left(1+\mu 2 n+\frac{(\mu 2 n)^{2}}{2}+\frac{(\mu 2 n)^{3}}{3!}+\cdots\right) X_{1} \\
& =e^{\mu 2 n} X_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The full calculations of the adjoint representations $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right) X_{j}$ where $i, j=1,2,3$ are summarised in Table 3.2. We set a non-zero vector field or operator

| $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right) X_{j}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}-2 n \mu X_{1}$ |
| $X_{2}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}-(n-m) \mu X_{2}$ |
| $X_{3}$ | $e^{2 n \mu} X_{1}$ | $e^{(n-m) \mu} X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ |

Table 3.2: Table of Adjoint representations of (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}+a_{3} X_{3} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with arbitrary coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$. The task is to simplify as many of the coefficients $a_{i}$ as possible by acting on it by $A d\left(e^{\mu X_{i}}\right)$ of a group generated by $X_{i}$, this process of adjoint action eliminates $a_{j} X_{j}$ where $j$ can be equal to $i$. The process is repeated until no further simplification is possible.

Firstly, suppose that $a_{3} \neq 0$ and set $a_{3}=1$ without loss of generality. Then the vector $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}+X_{3} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

To eliminate the coefficient of $X_{1}$, we act on such a vector $X$ by $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\frac{a_{1}}{2 n} X_{1}}\right)$, the vector becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{\prime} & =A d\left(e^{\frac{a_{1}}{2 n} X_{1}}\right) X \\
& =a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}+X_{3}-\frac{a_{1}}{2 n} 2 n X_{1}  \tag{3.35}\\
& =a_{2} X_{2}+X_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

We continue to eliminate the coefficient of $X_{2}$ by acting on $X^{\prime}$ by $A d\left(e^{\frac{a_{2}}{n-m} X_{2}}\right)$, then the vector becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{\prime \prime} & =A d\left(e^{\frac{a_{2}}{n-m} X_{2}}\right) X^{\prime} \\
& =a_{2} X_{2}+X_{3}-\frac{a_{2}}{n-m}(n-m) X_{2}  \tag{3.36}\\
& =X_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $X$ is equivalent to $X_{3}$ under the adjoint representation, that is, every onedimensional subalgebra generated by a vector $X$ with $a_{3} \neq 0$ is equivalent to a subalgebra spanned by $X_{3}$.

Secondly, suppose that $a_{3}=0$ and $a_{1} \neq 0$. Scaling $X$ if necessary we assume that $a_{1}=1$, then the vector $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We act on $X$ by $\operatorname{Ad}\left(e^{\mu X_{3}}\right)$ a group generated by $X_{3}$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{\prime} & =A d\left(e^{\mu X_{3}}\right) X  \tag{3.38}\\
& =e^{2 n \mu} X_{1}+a_{2} e^{\mu(n-m)} X_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The vector $X^{\prime}$ is a scalar multiple of the vector $X^{\prime \prime}=X_{1}+a_{2} e^{-\mu(m+n)} X_{2}$, hence depending on the sign of $a_{2}$ we can make the coefficient of $X_{2}$ either +1 or -1 . Thus any onedimensional subalgebra generated by $X$ with $a_{3}=0$ and $a_{1} \neq 0$ is equivalent to a subalgebra spanned by $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ where $\delta=+1,-1$. No further simplification is possible.

Thirdly, suppose that $a_{3}=0, a_{2}=0$ and $a_{1} \neq 0$. Scaling $X$ we let $a_{1}=1$, then the vector $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{1}, \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can not be simplified further. Thus any subalgebra generated by $X$ with $a_{3}=0$, $a_{2}=0$ and $a_{1} \neq 0$ is equivalent to a subalebra spanned by $X_{1}$. No further simplifications on $X$ are possible.

Lastly, suppose that $a_{1}=a_{3}=0$ and $a_{2} \neq 0$. Scaling $X$ we let $a_{2}=1$, then the vector $X$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{2}, \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can not be simplified further. Thus any subalgebra generated by $X$ with $a_{1}=$ $a_{3}=0$ and $a_{2} \neq 0$ is equivalent to a subalebra spanned by $X_{2}$. No further simplifications on $X$ are possible.

In summary, an optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras is spanned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{3} \\
& X_{1}+\delta X_{2}, \quad \delta= \pm 1,  \tag{3.41}\\
& X_{2} \\
& X_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Optimal systems of different subcases of equation (3.1) considered in Section 3.1 are summarized in Table 3.3.

### 3.3 Symmetry reductions and invariant solutions

In this section, we perform all possible similarity (symmetry) reductions and construct invariant solutions for subcase 3.1.3 using the optimal system obtained in Section 3.2.

| Subcase | Subalgebras | Conditions on constant(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1.1 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, a X_{2}+X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1, a \neq 0$ |
| 3.1 .2 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1$ |
| 3.1 .3 | $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, a X_{1}+X_{3}, X_{1}+\delta X_{2}\right\}$ | $\delta= \pm 1, a \neq 0$ |

Table 3.3: Optimal system of one-dimensional subalgeras of subcases of (3.1)

## Subcase 2.1.3

Invariance under $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are trivial hence not considered.
(a) Invariance under $X_{3}$. The characteristics equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{0}=\frac{d x}{m x}=\frac{d u}{2} . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the characteristic equation give the similarity variables as $J_{1}=t$ and $J_{2}=u-\ln \left(x^{2}\right) / m$, therefore the invariant solution $J_{2}=f\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\frac{\ln x^{2}}{m}+f(t) \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.43) into (3.31) gives the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
m f^{\prime}-2 e^{m f}=0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where """ denotes differentiation with respect to the variable $J_{1}=t$ and it solves to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=-\frac{\ln (C-2 t)}{m} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant of integration. Therefore the invariant solution becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\ln \left(\frac{x^{2}}{C-2 t}\right)^{1 / m} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Invariance under $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$. The characteristic equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{1}=\frac{d x}{\delta}=\frac{d u}{0} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which give similarity variables as $J_{1}=x-\delta t$ and $J_{2}=u$, therefore the invariant solution $J_{2}=g\left(J_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=g(x-\delta t) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.48) into (3.31) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{m g_{3}}\left(\delta g_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}-g_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)-g_{3}^{\prime}\left(\delta+m e^{m g_{3}}\left(g_{3}^{\prime}-\delta g_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=0 \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "/" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=x-t$.
(c) Invariance under $a X_{1}+X_{3}$. The characteristic equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{a}=\frac{d x}{m x}=\frac{d u}{2} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which give the invariants $J_{1}=e^{-m t / a} x$ and $J_{2}=u-2 t / a$, therefore the invariant solution $J_{2}=h\left(J_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\frac{2 t}{a}+h\left(e^{-m t / a} x\right) \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.51) into (3.31) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{align*}
2+m(m-a) e^{m h_{3}} h_{3}^{\prime 2}+(2 m-a) e^{m h_{3}} h_{3}^{\prime \prime}+m \psi h_{3}^{\prime}( & \left.m e^{m h_{3}} h_{3}^{\prime \prime}-1\right) \\
+m \psi e^{m h_{3}} h_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =0 \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}
$$

where """ is the derivative with respect to $J_{1}=e^{-m t / a} x$.

The group invariant solutions for the general case and other subcases are summarized in Table 3.4. The nonzero functions $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_{i}, \mathcal{G}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ of their respective arguments satisfy the ODEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 e^{n \mathcal{F}}+e^{m \mathcal{F}}\left[m\left(m-n+2 n e^{n \mathcal{F}}\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime 2}+2\left(m+n e^{n \mathcal{F}}\right) \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
&(n-m) z\left[e^{n \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}-e^{m \mathcal{F}}\left(n(n-m) \mathcal{F}^{\prime 3}+(m-3 n) \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}+\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right]=0,  \tag{3.53}\\
& \delta e^{n \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{G}^{\prime}+e^{(m+n) \mathcal{G}}\left(m \mathcal{G}^{\prime 2}+\mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\delta e^{m \mathcal{G}}\left[n(m-n) \mathcal{G}^{\prime 3}+(m-3 n) \mathcal{G}^{\prime} \mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}+\mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]=0,  \tag{3.54}\\
& 1+m^{2} e^{m \mathcal{F}_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime 2}+m\left(1+e^{m \mathcal{F}_{1}}\right) \mathcal{F}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0,  \tag{3.55}\\
& m e^{m \mathcal{G}_{1}}{\mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime 2}+e^{m \mathcal{G}_{1}} \mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\delta\left(1+2 m \mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime}-\delta \mathcal{G}_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}=0,  \tag{3.56}\\
& 1-m \kappa^{2}\left(2 a-e^{m \mathcal{H}_{1}}\right) \mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime 2}+\kappa^{2}\left(3 a+m+m e^{m \mathcal{H}_{1}}\right) \mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a \kappa^{3} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
&+m \mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime}\left(\kappa\left(1+e^{m \mathcal{H}_{1}}\right)-2 a \kappa^{2} \mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0,  \tag{3.57}\\
& 2 e^{n \mathcal{F}_{2}}-n^{3} \varsigma \mathcal{F}_{2}^{\prime}+2 n e^{n \mathcal{F}_{2}} \mathcal{F}_{2}^{\prime \prime}+n \varsigma \mathcal{F}_{2}^{\prime}\left(e^{n \mathcal{F}_{2}}+3 n \mathcal{F}_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)-n \varsigma \mathcal{F}_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,  \tag{3.58}\\
& \delta n^{2} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{3}-e^{n \mathcal{G}_{2}} \mathcal{G}_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\delta \mathcal{G}_{2}^{\prime}\left(e^{n \mathcal{G}_{2}}+3 n \mathcal{G}_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\delta \mathcal{G}_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0, \tag{3.59}
\end{align*}
$$

| Equation | Invariant solution | Generated by sublagebra |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(3.1)$ | $-\frac{\ln t}{n}+\mathcal{F}(z): z=t^{(m-n) / 2 n} x$ | $X_{3}$ |
|  | $\mathcal{G}(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |
| $(3.27)$ | $-\frac{\ln t}{m}+\mathcal{F}_{1}(x)$ | $X_{3}$ |
|  | $\mathcal{G}_{1}(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |
|  | $-\frac{\ln t}{m}+\mathcal{H}_{1}(\kappa): \kappa=t^{-\alpha / m} e^{x}$ | $a X_{2}+X_{3}$ |
| $(3.29)$ | $-\frac{\ln t}{n}+\mathcal{F}_{2}(\varsigma): \varsigma=t^{-1 / 2} x$ | $X_{3}$ |
|  | $\mathcal{G}_{2}(x-\delta t)$ | $X_{1}+\delta X_{2}$ |

Table 3.4: Group invariant solutions of (3.1) and subcases of (3.1)

### 3.4 Graphical solutions

The graphical solution of group invariant solution of subcase 3.1.3 is presented below.


Figure 3.1: Solution (3.46) with $C=21, m=1$.

### 3.5 Conclusion

In this chapter we obtained the symmetry Lie algebra of the pseudo-parabolic PDE for exponential law in diffusion coefficient and viscosity, different subcases were considered. Optimal systems of one-dimensional subalgebras were then derived, and subsequently used to perform symmetry reductions and construct group invariant solutions. The
graphical solution was presented.

## Chapter 4

## Approximate symmetry analysis of a perturbed pseudo-parabolic PDE: Model III

Partial differential equations with small parameter are widely used as mathematical models to describe non linear phenomena in various fields of mathematics, engineering and physical sciences such as mechanics, optics, e.t.c. For these perturbed equations, investigation of the analytic solutions play an important role in these related fields. Various perturbation methods have been developed to solve these equations, such as homotopy perturbation method, Adomian decomposition method, inverse scattering transformation method, e.t.c. [3].

In the 1980s Baikov, Gazizov and Ibragimov [2, 3] developed a method called approximate symmetry method, which is the combination of Lie group theory and perturbation analysis. In this method, the Lie operator is expanded in a perturbation series so that an approximate operator can be found. Also there is another method developed by Fushchich and Shtelen [11], where the dependent variables are expanded in a perturbation series; equations are separated at each order of approximation and the approximate symmetries of the original equations are defined to be the exact symmetries of the system obtained from equating to zero the coefficients of the smallness parameter.

In this work, the method due to Baikov et al. will be used to find the approximate symmetries. The definitions, theorems, proofs and notations of the theory of approximate symmetries in the first-order precision are based upon the references [16, 17].

### 4.1 Preliminaries

### 4.1.1 Notations and definitions

Consider a set of smooth vector functions depending on vector $x$ and a group parameter $a$ :

$$
f_{0}(x, a), f_{1}(x, a)
$$

with coordinates

$$
f_{0}^{i}(x, a), f_{1}^{i}(x, a), \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

Let us define the one-parameter family $G$ of approximate transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{i} \approx f_{0}^{i}(x, a)+f_{1}^{i}(x, a), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of points $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ into points $\bar{x}=\left(\bar{x}^{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ as the class of the invertible transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=f(x, a, \epsilon) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with vector-functions $f=\left(f^{1}, \ldots, f^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
f^{i}(x, a, \epsilon) \approx f_{0}^{i}(x, a)+f_{1}^{i}(x, a), \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Here $a$ is a real parameter, and the following condition is imposed:

$$
f(x, 0, \epsilon) \approx x
$$

Furthermore, it is assumed that the transformation (4.2) is defined for any value of $a$ from a small neighborhood of $a=0$, and that, in this neighborhood, the equation $f(x, a, \epsilon) \approx x$ yields $a=0$.

Definition 4.1.1. The set of transformations (4.1) is called a one-parameter approximate transformation group if

$$
f(f(x, a, \epsilon), b, \epsilon) \approx f(x, a+b, \epsilon)
$$

for all transformations (4.2).
Remark 4.1.1. Here, unlike the usual classical Lie group theory, $f$ does not necessarily denote the same function at each occurrence. It can be replaced by any function $g \approx f$.

Definition 4.1.2. The generator of an approximate transformation group $G$ given by (4.2) is the class of first-order linear differential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\xi^{i}(x, \epsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\xi^{i}(x, \epsilon) \approx \xi_{0}^{i}(x)+\epsilon \xi_{1}^{i}(x)
$$

where the vector fields $\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}$ are given by

$$
\xi_{0}^{i}=\left.\frac{\partial f_{0}^{i}(x, a)}{\partial a}\right|_{a=0}, \quad \xi_{1}^{i}=\left.\frac{\partial f_{1}^{i}(x, a)}{\partial a}\right|_{a=0}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

In what follows, an approximate group generator

$$
X \approx\left(\xi_{0}^{i}(x)+\epsilon \xi_{1}^{i}(x)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

is written simply as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(\xi_{0}^{i}(x)+\epsilon \xi_{1}^{i}(x)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Approximate Lie equations

Consider the one-parameter approximate transformation groups (4.1). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{0}+\epsilon X_{1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a given approximate operator where

$$
X_{0}=\xi_{0}^{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \quad X_{1}=\xi_{1}^{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} .
$$

The corresponding approximate transformation (4.1) of points $x$ into points $\bar{x}=\bar{x}_{0}+\epsilon \bar{x}_{1}$ with the coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{i}=\bar{x}_{0}^{i}+\epsilon \bar{x}_{1}^{i}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{x}_{0}^{i}=f_{0}^{i}(x, a), \quad \bar{x}_{1}^{i}=f_{0}^{i}(x, a),
$$

is determined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{x}_{0}^{i}}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\xi_{0}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right),\left.\quad \bar{x}_{0}^{i}\right|_{a=0}=x^{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n  \tag{4.7}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{x}_{1}^{i}}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\left.\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \xi_{0}^{i}(x)}{\partial x^{k}}\right|_{x=\bar{x}_{0}} \bar{x}_{1}^{k}+\xi_{1}^{i}\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right),\left.\quad \bar{x}_{1}^{i}\right|_{a=0}=0 . \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (4.7) and (4.8) are called the approximate Lie equations.

## Approximate exponential map

Theorem 4.1.1. Given the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{0}+\epsilon X_{1} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a small parameter $\epsilon$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=\xi_{0}^{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \quad X_{1}=\xi_{1}^{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the corresponding approximate group transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{i}=\bar{x}_{0}^{i}+\epsilon \bar{x}_{1}^{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are determined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}_{0}^{i}=e^{a X_{0}}\left(x^{i}\right), \quad \bar{x}_{1}^{i}=\left\langle\left\langle a X_{0}, a X_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle\left(\bar{x}_{0}^{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a X_{0}}=1+a X_{0}+\frac{a^{2}}{2!} X_{0}^{2}+\frac{a^{3}}{3!} X_{0}^{3}+\cdots \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\langle a X_{0}, a X_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle=a X_{1}+\frac{a^{2}}{2!}\left[X_{0}, X_{1}\right]+\frac{a^{3}}{3!}\left[X_{0},\left[X_{0}, X_{1}\right]\right]+\cdots \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the approximate operator $X=X_{0}+\epsilon X_{1}$ generates the one-parameter approximate transformation group given by the following approximate exponential map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{i}=\left(1+\epsilon\left\langle\left\langle a X_{0}, a X_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle\right) e^{a X_{0}}\left(x^{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.1.2 Approximate symmetries

Definition 4.1.3. Let $G$ be a one-parameter approximate transformation group:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}^{i} \approx f(z, a, \epsilon) \equiv f_{0}^{i}(z, a)+\epsilon f_{1}^{i}(z, a), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

An approximate equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z, \epsilon) \equiv F_{0}(z)+\epsilon F_{1}(z) \approx 0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is said to be approximately invariant with respect to $G$, or admits $G$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \approx F(f(z, a, \epsilon), \epsilon)=o(\epsilon) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $z=\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)$ satisfies equation (4.17). If $z=\left(x, u, u_{(1)}, \ldots, u_{(k)}\right)$, then (4.17) becomes an approximate differential equation of order $k$, and $G$ is an approximate symmetry group of the differential equation.

Theorem 4.1.2. Equation (4.17) is approximately invariant under the approximate transformation group (4.16) with generator

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{0}+\epsilon X_{1}=\xi_{0}^{i}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}+\epsilon \xi_{1}^{i}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}}, \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[X^{[k]} F(z, \epsilon)\right]\right|_{F \approx 0}=o(\epsilon) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[X_{0}^{[k]} F_{0}(z)+\epsilon\left(X_{1}^{[k]} F_{0}(z)+X_{0}^{[k]} F_{1}(z)\right)\right]\right|_{(4.17)}=o(\epsilon) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $k$ is order of equation and $X^{[k]}$ is $k^{t h}$-order prolongation of $X$. The operator (4.19) satisfying equation (4.21) is called an infinitesimal approximate symmetry of, or an approximate operator admitted by (4.17). Accordingly, equation (4.21) is termed the determining equation for approximate symmetries.

Remark 4.1.2. The determining equation (4.21) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{0}^{[k]} F_{0}(z) & =\lambda(z) F_{0}(z),  \tag{4.22}\\
X_{1}^{[k]} F_{0}(z)+X_{0}^{[k]} F_{1}(z) & =\lambda(z) F_{1}(z) . \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

The factor $\lambda(z)$ is determined by equation (4.22) and then substituted in equation (4.23). The latter equation must hold for all solutions of $F_{0}(z)$. Comparing equation (4.22) with the determining equation of exact symmetries, we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 4.1.3. If equation (4.17) admits an approximate transformation group with the generator $X=X_{0}+\epsilon X_{1}$, where $X_{0} \neq 0$, then the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=\xi_{0}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an exact symmetry of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}(z)=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.1.3. It is manifest from equation (4.22) and (4.23) that if $X_{0}$ is an exact symmetry of equation (4.25), then $X=\epsilon X_{0}$ is an approximate symmetry of (4.17).

Definition 4.1.4. Equations (4.25) and (4.17) are termed an unperturbed equation and a perturbed equation respectively. Under the conditions of Theorem (4.1.3), the operator $X_{0}$ is called a stable symmetry of the unperturbed equation (4.25). The corresponding approximate symmetry generator $X=X_{0}+\epsilon X_{1}$ for the perturbed equation (4.17) is called a deformation of the infinitesimal symmetry $X_{0}$ of equation (4.25) caused by the perturbation $\epsilon F_{1}(z)$. In particular, if the most general symmetry Lie algebras of equation (4.25) is stable, we say that the perturbed equation (4.17) inherits the symmetries of the unperturbed equation.

## Algorithm for calculating approximate symmetries

Remark (4.1.2) and Theorem (4.1.3) provide a simple and convenient algorithm for calculation of the first-order approximate symmetries of equations with a small parameter. The algorithm consists of the following three steps:
First step: Calculation of the exact symmetries $X_{0}$ of the unperturbed equation (4.25), e.g., by solving the determining equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{0}^{[k]} F_{0}(z)\right|_{F_{0}(z)=0}=0 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second step: Determination of the auxiliary function $H$ by virtue of equations (4.22), (4.23) and (4.17), i.e., by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\left.X_{0}^{[k]}\left(F_{0}(z)+\epsilon F_{1}(z)\right)\right|_{F_{0}(z)+\epsilon F_{1}(z)=0}\right] \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with known $X_{0}$ and $F_{1}(z)$.
Third step: Calculation of the operators $X_{1}$ by solving the determining equation for deformations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{1}^{[k]} F_{0}(z)\right|_{F_{0}(z)=0}+H=0 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that equation (4.28), unlike the determining equation (4.26) for exact symmetries is inhomogeneous and the prolongation formulas are the same as in the classical Lie theory.

## Approximate Lie algebras

Definition 4.1.5. A class of first-order differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\xi^{i}(z, \epsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{i}} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{i}(z, \epsilon) \approx \xi_{0}^{i}(z)+\epsilon \xi_{1}^{i}(z), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some fixed functions $\xi_{0}^{i}(z), \xi_{1}^{i}(z), i=1, \ldots, n$, is called approximate operator.
Definition 4.1.6. An approximate commutator of the approximate operators $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ is an approximate operator denoted by $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right] \approx X_{1}\left(X_{2}\right)-X_{2}\left(X_{1}\right) \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approximate commutator satisfies the usual properties, namely
i (bi)linearity: $\left[a X_{1}+b X_{2}, X_{3}\right] \approx a\left[X_{1}, X_{3}\right]+b\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right], \quad a, b=$ constants, ii skew-symmetry: $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right] \approx-\left[X_{2}, X_{1}\right]$, iii Jacobi identity: $\left[\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right], X_{3}\right]+\left[\left[X_{2}, X_{3}\right], X_{1}\right]+\left[\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right], X_{2}\right] \approx 0$.

Definition 4.1.7. A vector space $L$ of approximate operators is called an approximate Lie algebra of operators if it is closed under the approximate commutator, i.e., if $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right] \in L$ for any $X_{1}, X_{2} \in L$.

Remark 4.1.4. Here the approximate commutator $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right.$ ] is calculated to the firstorder of precision.

Theorem 4.1.4. A set of approximate symmetries of an equation forms an approximate Lie algebra.

## Approximate invariants

Definition 4.1.8. An approximate function $I(z, \epsilon)$ is called an approximate invariant of the group $G$ of transformations (4.16) if for each $z \in \mathrm{R}^{n}$ and an admissible $a \in \mathrm{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \approx I(z, \epsilon) \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1.5. The approximate functionn is an approximate of the group $G$ with the generator (4.29) if and only if the approximate equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X F(z, \epsilon) \approx 0 \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.

Theorem 4.1.6. Any one-parameter approximate group $G$ with the generator (4.29) has exactly $n-1$ functionally independent approximate invariants of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{k}(z, \epsilon) \approx I_{0}^{k}(z)+\epsilon I_{1}^{k}(z), \quad k=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any approximate invariant of $G$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z, \epsilon)=\varphi_{0}\left(I^{1}, \ldots, I^{n-1}\right)+\epsilon \varphi_{1}\left(I^{1}, \ldots, I^{n-1}\right) \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}$ are arbitrary functions.

## Approximately invariant solutions

Approximate invariants for the operator (4.19) are written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(z, \epsilon)=J_{0}(z)+\epsilon J_{1}(z)+o(\epsilon) \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are determined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(J)=o(\epsilon) \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}\left(J_{0}\right)+\epsilon\left(X_{1}\left(J_{0}\right)+X_{0}\left(J_{1}\right)\right)=0 \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation splits into the system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}\left(J_{0}\right)=0, \quad X_{1}\left(J_{0}\right)+X_{0}\left(J_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving equations (4.39) we will find two functionally independent invariants

$$
\begin{array}{r}
J^{1}=J_{0}^{1}(z)+\epsilon J_{1}^{1}(z),  \tag{4.40}\\
J^{2}=J_{0}^{2}(z)+\epsilon J_{1}^{2}(z)
\end{array}
$$

for operator (4.19).
Remark 4.1.5. Functions (4.40) are said to be functionally independent if $J^{2}=\Psi\left(J^{1}\right)$, i.e., if the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}^{2}(z)+\epsilon J_{1}^{2}(z)=\Psi\left(J_{0}^{1}(z)+\epsilon J_{1}^{1}(z)\right)+o(\epsilon) \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a certain function $\Psi$ holds identically in $z$. If such a function $\Psi$ does not exist, the functions (4.40) are said to be functionally independent. It is manifest that if $J_{0}^{1}$ and $J_{0}^{2}$ are functionally independent, then so are the functions (4.40) as well.

### 4.2 Perturbed pseudo-parabolic PDE: Model IIIa

In this section we consider a perturbed pseudo-parabolic PDE (6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x}, \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a small parameter, while $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are arbitrary constants. Firstly, we perform approximate symmetry analysis of a general case $\alpha \neq \beta$ for $\alpha, \beta>0$. Secondly, we consider particular cases of (4.42) for different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ which arises from the analysis of the general case. In each case the approximate symmetries are obtained and then used to perform symmetry reductions and/or construct group approximate invariant solutions.

### 4.2.1 Approximate symmetries

The generator of approximate symmetries of (4.42) is

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =X_{0}+\epsilon X_{1} \\
& =\left(\xi^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\tau^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)+\epsilon\left(\xi^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\tau^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \tag{4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.42)}=o(\epsilon), \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(X_{0}^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha}\left(u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)\right)_{x}\right)+\epsilon\left(X_{1}^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha}\left(u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)\right)_{x}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{4.45}\\
\left.\left.+X_{0}^{[3]}\left(\left(-u^{\beta}\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right)\right)\left.\right|_{(4.42)}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $\xi^{i}, \tau^{i}$, and $\eta^{i}(i=0,1)$ are unknown functions of $t, x, u$ and $X^{[3]}$ is the third prolongation of $X$. Equation (4.44) is the determining equation for infinitesimal approximate symmetries.

## First step. Calculation of symmetries, $X_{0}$, of the unperturbed equation:

The symmetry operators $X_{0}$ of the unperturbed equation $(\epsilon=0)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha}\left(u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)\right)_{x}=0 \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

are obtained by solving the determining equation for exact symmetries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{0}^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha}\left(u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.46)}=0, \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}^{[3]}$ is the third prolongation of the vector field $X_{0}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}^{[3]}=X_{0}+\zeta_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+\zeta_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\zeta_{t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x}}+\zeta_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x}}+\zeta_{t x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x x}} \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (4.47) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\zeta_{t}-u^{\alpha} \zeta_{t x x}-u^{\alpha} \zeta_{x x}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} u_{x} \zeta_{t x}-\left(\alpha u^{\alpha-1} u_{x}+\alpha u^{\alpha-1}\left(u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)\right) \zeta_{x}\right.  \tag{4.49}\\
& \left.\quad-\left(\alpha(\alpha-1) u^{\alpha-2} u_{x}\left(u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)+\alpha u^{\alpha-1}\left(u_{x x}+u_{t x x}\right)\right) \eta\right)\left.\right|_{(4.46)}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $\zeta_{t}, \zeta_{x}, \zeta_{t x}, \zeta_{x x}$ and $\zeta_{t x x}$ are given respectively by equations (1.23), $(1.24),(1.26),(1.27)$ and (2.6). When expanded, equation (4.49) yields an overdetermined system of linear homogeneous partial differential equations (determining equations) that can be solved for the coefficients $\xi^{0}, \tau^{0}$ and $\eta^{0}$ of the approximate symmetry operator (4.43) using the classical Lie symmetry method.

With the aid of YaLie [7] software package, the determining equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{u}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.50}\\
\tau_{u}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.51}\\
\eta_{u u}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.52}\\
\xi_{x}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.53}\\
\eta_{x u}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.54}\\
\tau_{t}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.55}\\
\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{x}^{0}-u^{\alpha} \tau_{x x}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.56}\\
u^{\alpha} \eta_{x x}^{0}-\eta_{t}^{0}+u^{\alpha} \eta_{t x x}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.57}\\
\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta^{0}-2 u^{\alpha} \tau_{x}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.58}\\
(1-\alpha) \alpha u^{\alpha-2} \eta^{0}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{u}^{0}+2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \tau_{x}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.59}\\
\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta^{0}-2 u^{\alpha} \tau_{x}^{0}+u^{\alpha} \xi_{t}^{0}+u^{\alpha} \eta_{t u}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.60}\\
(1-\alpha) \alpha u^{\alpha-2} \eta^{0}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{u}^{0}+2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \tau_{x}^{0}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \xi_{t}^{0}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{t u}^{0} & =0,  \tag{4.61}\\
2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{x}^{0}-u^{\alpha} \tau_{x x}^{0}+\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{t x}^{0} & =0, \tag{4.62}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the indicated variable. From equations (4.50) and (4.53) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{0}=A(t) \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$. Equations (4.51) and (4.55) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{0}=B(x) \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(x)$ is an arbitrary function of $x$. Substituting equation (4.64) into equation (4.58) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{0}=\frac{2 u B^{\prime}(x)}{\alpha} \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.52) is identically satisfied by (4.65). Substituting equations (4.63), (4.64), and (4.65) into equations (4.54), (4.56), (4.57), (4.59), (4.60), (4.61) and (4.62) reduce to the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
B^{\prime \prime}(x) & =0,  \tag{4.66}\\
A^{\prime}(t) & =0 . \tag{4.67}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (4.66) and (4.67), we respectively obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B(x) & =k_{1} x+k_{2},  \tag{4.68}\\
A(t) & =k_{3} \tag{4.69}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ are constants of integration. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=k_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(k_{1} x+k_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 k_{1} u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} . \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the unperturbed equation (4.46) admits the three-dimensional Lie algebra with the basis

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
X_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x}  \tag{4.71}\\
X_{3} & =x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Second step: We determine the auxiliary function $H$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left.\frac{1}{\epsilon} X_{0}^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.42)}, \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}^{[3]}$ is the third prolongation of $X_{0}$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
X_{0}^{[3]}=k_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(k_{1} x+k_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 k_{1} u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\zeta_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+\zeta_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\zeta_{t x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x}} \\
+\zeta_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x}}+\zeta_{t x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t x x}} \tag{4.73}
\end{array}
$$

and the coefficients $\zeta^{\prime}$ s are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{t} & =\frac{2 k_{1}}{\alpha} u_{t}  \tag{4.74}\\
\zeta_{x} & =\left(\frac{2 k_{1}}{\alpha}-k_{1}\right) u_{x}  \tag{4.75}\\
\zeta_{t x} & =\left(\frac{2 k_{1}}{\alpha}-k_{1}\right) u_{t x}  \tag{4.76}\\
\zeta_{x x} & =2\left(\frac{k_{1}}{\alpha}-k_{1}\right) u_{x x}  \tag{4.77}\\
\zeta_{t x x} & =2\left(\frac{k_{1}}{\alpha}-k_{1}\right) u_{t x x} \tag{4.78}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the operator (4.73) into (4.72) and simplifying we obtain the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{2 k_{1}(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta-1}}{\alpha}\left(\beta u_{x}^{2}+\beta u_{x} u_{t x}+u u_{x x}+u u_{t x x}\right) \tag{4.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Third step: Now we calculate the operators $X_{1}$ by solving the inhomogeneous determining equation for deformations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{1}^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha}\left(u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.46)}+\frac{2 k_{1}(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta-1}}{\alpha}\left(\beta u_{x}^{2}+\beta u_{x} u_{t x}+u u_{x x}+u u_{t x x}\right)=0 \tag{4.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{1}^{[3]}$ is the third prolongation of $X_{1}$. Expansion of (4.80) yields an overdetermined system of linear inhomogeneous partial differential equations that can be solved for the coefficients $\xi^{1}, \tau^{1}$, and $\eta^{1}$. The expansion of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{1}^{[3]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha}\left(u_{x}+u_{t x}\right)\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.46)} \tag{4.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the same as that of equation (4.49) with superscript zero replaced by one. Thus, the determining equations of (4.80) become

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{u}^{1} & =0,  \tag{4.82}\\
\tau_{u}^{1} & =0,  \tag{4.83}\\
\eta_{u u}^{1} & =0,  \tag{4.84}\\
\xi_{x}^{1} & =0,  \tag{4.85}\\
\eta_{x u}^{1} & =0,  \tag{4.86}\\
\tau_{t}^{1} & =0,  \tag{4.87}\\
\alpha, u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{x}^{1}-u^{\alpha} \tau_{x x}^{1} & =0,  \tag{4.88}\\
u^{\alpha} \eta_{x x}^{1}-\eta_{t}^{1}+u^{\alpha} \eta_{t x x}^{1} & =0,  \tag{4.89}\\
\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta^{1}-2 u^{\alpha} \tau_{x}^{1}+u^{\alpha} \xi_{t}^{1}+u^{\alpha} \eta_{t u}^{1}-\frac{2 k_{1}(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta}}{\alpha} & =0,  \tag{4.90}\\
(1-\alpha) \alpha u^{\alpha-2} \eta^{1}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{u}^{1}+2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \tau_{x}^{1}+\frac{2 k_{1} \beta(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta-1}}{\alpha} & =0,  \tag{4.91}\\
(1-\alpha) \alpha u^{\alpha-2} \eta^{1}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{u}^{1}+2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \tau_{x}^{1}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \xi_{t}^{1}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{t u}^{1} &  \tag{4.92}\\
+\frac{2 k_{1} \beta(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta-1}}{\alpha} & =0, \\
2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{x}^{1}-u^{\alpha} \tau_{x x}^{1}+\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{t x}^{1} & =0, \tag{4.93}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the indicated variable. From equations (4.82) and (4.85) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{1}=C(t) \tag{4.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(t)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$. Equations (4.83) and (4.87) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{1}=D(x) \tag{4.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(x)$ is an arbitrary function of $x$. Substituting equation (4.96) into equation (4.90) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1}=\frac{2 u D^{\prime}(x)}{\alpha}+\frac{2 k_{1}(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta-\alpha+1}}{\alpha^{2}} . \tag{4.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (4.97) into equation (4.84) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{u u}^{1}=\frac{2 k_{1}(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\alpha+1)(\beta-\alpha) u^{\beta-\alpha-1}}{\alpha^{2}}=0 \tag{4.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=0 \tag{4.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\alpha-1 \tag{4.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\alpha \neq \beta$. We now consider the two cases separately including the case $\alpha=\beta$.
Subcase 4.2.1. $k_{1}=0$.
When $k_{1}=0$, we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1}=\frac{2 u D^{\prime}(x)}{\alpha} \tag{4.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equations (4.95), (4.96), (4.99) and (4.101) into (4.88), (4.89), (4.91), (4.92), (4.93) and (4.94) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{\prime \prime}(x) & =0  \tag{4.102}\\
C^{\prime}(t) & =0 . \tag{4.103}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (4.102) and (4.103) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
D(x) & =d_{1} x+d_{2}  \tag{4.104}\\
C(t) & =d_{3} \tag{4.105}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{1}, d_{2}$, and $d_{3}$ are constants of integration. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=d_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(d_{1} x+d_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 d_{1} u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} . \tag{4.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the approximate symmetries of (4.42) are obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(k_{3}+\epsilon d_{3}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left[k_{2}+\epsilon\left(d_{1} x+d_{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\epsilon \frac{2 d_{1} u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \tag{4.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
\mathbf{v}_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\mathbf{v}_{3} & =\epsilon\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)  \tag{4.108}\\
\mathbf{v}_{4} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1} \\
\mathbf{v}_{5} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 4.2.2. $\beta=\alpha-1$.
When $\beta=\alpha-1$ we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1}=\frac{2 u D^{\prime}(x)}{\alpha}+\frac{2 k_{1}}{\alpha^{2}} . \tag{4.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of equations (4.95), (4.96), (4.100) and (4.109) into (4.88), (4.89), (4.91), (4.92), (4.93) and (4.94) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{\prime \prime}(x) & =0,  \tag{4.110}\\
C^{\prime}(t) & =0 . \tag{4.111}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (4.110) and (4.111) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
D(x) & =c_{1} x+c_{2}  \tag{4.112}\\
C(t) & =c_{3} \tag{4.113}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$ are constants of integration. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=c_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(c_{1} x+c_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(\frac{2 c_{1} u}{\alpha}+\frac{2 k_{1}}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} . \tag{4.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the approximate symmetries of (4.42) are obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(k_{3}+\epsilon c_{3}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left[k_{1} x+k_{2}+\epsilon\left(c_{1} x+c_{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left[\frac{2 k_{1} u}{\alpha}+\epsilon\left(\frac{2 c_{1} u}{\alpha}+\frac{2 k_{1}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \tag{4.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{3}=\epsilon\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right),  \tag{4.116}\\
& \mathbf{v}_{4}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{5}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{6}=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(\frac{2 u}{\alpha}+\frac{2 \epsilon}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 4.2.3. $\alpha=\beta$.
When $\alpha=\beta$ equation (4.42) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\alpha}\right)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{4.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the approximate symmetries of (4.42) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{3}=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u},  \tag{4.118}\\
& \mathbf{v}_{4}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{5}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{6}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subsubcase 4.2.1. $\alpha=\beta=1$.
When $\alpha=\beta=1$ equation (4.42) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left((u+\epsilon u)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{4.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the approximate symmetries of (4.42) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
\mathbf{v}_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\mathbf{v}_{3} & =x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u},  \tag{4.120}\\
\mathbf{v}_{4} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1} \\
\mathbf{v}_{5} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2} \\
\mathbf{v}_{6} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Subsubcase 4.2.2. $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=1$.
When $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=1$ equation (4.42) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left((1+\epsilon u)\left(u+u_{t}\right)_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{4.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the approximate symmetries of (4.42) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{3}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial}{\partial u},  \tag{4.122}\\
& \mathbf{v}_{4}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{5}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{6}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.2.2 Symmetry reductions and approximately invariant solutions

In this section, approximate invariant solutions will be derived from particular linear combinations of the approximate symmetries obtained in the previous section. We will consider the two subsubcases, 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

## Subsubcase 4.2.1

In this subsubcase we will consider the combinations $\mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathbf{v}_{6}, \mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{5}, \mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{4}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$.
(a) Invariance under $\mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$. The approximate invariants for $\mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$ are determined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\epsilon\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\right)\left(J_{0}+\epsilon J_{1}\right)=o(\epsilon) \tag{4.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(J_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(J_{1}\right)+\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\left(J_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{4.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (4.124) we have characteristic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{0}=\frac{d x}{1}=\frac{d u}{0} \tag{4.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

which give the two functionally independent solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}^{1}=t \tag{4.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}^{2}=u \tag{4.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.127) into (4.125) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(J_{1}^{1}\right)=0 \tag{4.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simplest solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}^{1}=0 \tag{4.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the first invariant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=t \tag{4.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.128) into (4.125) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(J_{1}^{2}\right)+2 u=0 \tag{4.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding characteristic equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{1}=-\frac{d J_{1}^{2}}{2 u} \tag{4.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}^{2}=-2 x u+k . \tag{4.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $k=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}^{2}=-2 x u \tag{4.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the second invariant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}=u-\epsilon 2 x u . \tag{4.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the approximately invariant solution $J_{2}=f\left(J_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\epsilon 2 x u=f(t) \tag{4.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x) & =(1-2 \epsilon x)^{-1} f(t)  \tag{4.138}\\
& \approx(1+2 \epsilon x) f(t)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the approximate invariant solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=(1+2 \epsilon x) f(t) \tag{4.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.139) into (4.119) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}+2 \epsilon x f^{\prime}=0 \tag{4.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

where """ denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=t$, and it implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}=0 \tag{4.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of equation (4.141) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=K_{1} \tag{4.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}$ is a constant of integration. Hence the approximate invariant solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=(1+2 \epsilon x) K_{1} . \tag{4.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Invariance under $\mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{5}$. The approximate invariants for $\mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{5}$ are determined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\left(J_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\left(J_{1}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(J_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{4.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.144) has two functionally independent solutions $J_{0}^{1}=t$ and $J_{0}^{2}=u / x^{2}$. The simplest solutions of (4.145) are $J_{1}^{1}=0$ and $J_{1}^{2}=-2 u / x^{3}$. Therefore we have two independent invariants $J_{1}=t$ and $J_{2}=u / x^{2}-2 \epsilon u / x^{3}$. Thus, the approximate invariant solution $J_{2}=g\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 \epsilon u}{x^{3}}=g(t) \tag{4.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x) & =x^{2}\left(1-\frac{2 \epsilon}{x}\right)^{-1} g(t)  \tag{4.147}\\
& \approx x^{2}\left(1+\frac{2 \epsilon}{x}\right) g(t)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the approximate invariant solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=x^{2}\left(1+\frac{2 \epsilon}{x}\right) g(t) \tag{4.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.148) into (4.119) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} g^{\prime}-6 x^{2} g\left(g+g^{\prime}\right)+\epsilon\left(2 x g^{\prime}-2\left(6 x+3 x^{2}\right) g\left(g+g^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 \tag{4.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "'" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=t$.
(c) Invariance under $\mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{4}$. The approximate invariants for $\mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{4}$ are determined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\left(J_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\left(J_{1}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(J_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.150) has two functionally independent solutions $J_{0}^{1}=t$ and $J_{0}^{2}=u / x^{2}$. The simplest solutions of (4.151) are $J_{1}^{1}=-\ln x$ and $J_{1}^{2}=0$. Therefore we have two independent invariants $J_{1}=t-\epsilon \ln x$ and $J_{2}=u / x^{2}$. Thus, the approximate invariant solution $J_{2}=h\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{-2} u=h(t-\epsilon \ln x) . \tag{4.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the approximate invariant solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=x^{2} h(t-\epsilon \ln x) \tag{4.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.153) into (4.119) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 h^{2}-h^{\prime}+6 h h^{\prime}+\epsilon\left(6 h^{2}-h h^{\prime}-2 h^{\prime 2}-5 h h^{\prime \prime}\right)=0 \tag{4.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "'"" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=t-\epsilon \ln x$.

## Subsubcase 4.2.2

In this subsubcase we will consider the combinations $\mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$.
(a) Invariance under $\mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$. The approximate invariants for $\mathbf{v}_{2}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$ are determined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(J_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(J_{1}\right)+\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\left(J_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{4.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.155) has two functionally independent solutions $J_{0}^{1}=t$ and $J_{0}^{2}=u$. The simplest solutions of (4.156) are $J_{1}^{1}=x$ and $J_{1}^{2}=-x u$. Therefore we have two independent invariants $J_{1}=t+\epsilon x$ and $J_{2}=u-\epsilon x u$. Thus, the approximate invariant solution $J_{2}=F\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\epsilon x u=F(t+\epsilon x) \tag{4.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x) & =(1-\epsilon x)^{-1} F(t+\epsilon x)  \tag{4.158}\\
& \approx(1+\epsilon x) F(t+\epsilon x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the approximate invariant solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=(1+\epsilon x) F(t+\epsilon x) \tag{4.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.159) into (4.119) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}+\epsilon x F^{\prime}=0 \tag{4.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "/"" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=t-\epsilon x$, and it implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}=0 . \tag{4.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of equation (4.161) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=K_{2} \tag{4.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{2}$ is a constant of integration. Hence the approximate invariant solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=(1+\epsilon x) K_{2} \tag{4.163}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Invariance under $\mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$. The approximate invariants for $\mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathbf{v}_{6}$ are determined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(J_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(J_{1}\right)+\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\left(J_{0}\right)=0 \tag{4.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.164) has two functionally independent solutions $J_{0}^{1}=x$ and $J_{0}^{2}=u$. The simplest solutions of (4.165) are $J_{1}^{1}=0$ and $J_{1}^{2}=-t u$. Therefore we have two independent invariants $J_{1}=x$ and $J_{2}=u-\epsilon t u$. Thus, the approximate invariant solution $J_{2}=G\left(J_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\epsilon t u=G(x) \tag{4.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t, x) & =(1-\epsilon t)^{-1} G(x)  \tag{4.167}\\
& \approx(1+\epsilon t) G(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the approximate invariant solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=(1+\epsilon t) G(x) \tag{4.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.168) into (4.119) we obtain the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon\left(G^{2}+G^{\prime \prime}+t G^{\prime \prime}+G G^{\prime \prime}-G\right)=0 \tag{4.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "/"" denotes differentiation with respect to $J_{1}=x$.

### 4.3 Perturbed pseudo-parabolic PDE: Model IIIb

In this section, for completeness we consider a perturbed pseudo-parabolic PDE (7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right) u_{x}\right)_{x}, \tag{4.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a small parameter, while $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are arbitrary constants. Firstly, we perform approximate symmetry analysis of a general case $\alpha \neq \beta$ for $\alpha, \beta>0$. Secondly, we consider particular cases of (4.170) for different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ which arises from the analysis of the general case. In each case the approximate symmetries are obtained and then used to perform symmetry reductions and/or construct group approximate invariant solutions.

### 4.3.1 Approximate symmetries

The generator of approximate symmetries of (4.170) is

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =X_{0}+\epsilon X_{1} \\
& =\left(\xi^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\tau^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)+\epsilon\left(\xi^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\tau^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\eta^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \tag{4.171}
\end{align*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X^{[2]}\left(u_{t}-\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right) u_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.170)}=o(\epsilon), \tag{4.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(X_{0}^{[2]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha} u_{x}\right)_{x}\right)+\epsilon\left(X_{1}^{[2]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha} u_{x}\right)_{x}\right)+X_{0}^{[2]}\left(-\left(u^{\beta} u_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right)\right)\right|_{(4.170)}=0 \tag{4.173}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $\xi^{i}, \tau^{i}$, and $\eta^{i}(i=0,1)$ are unknown functions of $t, x, u$ and $X^{[2]}$ is the second prolongation of $X$. Equation (4.172) is the determining equation for infinitesimal approximate symmetries.
First step. Calculation of symmetries, $X_{0}$, of the unperturbed equation:
The symmetry operators $X_{0}$ of the unperturbed equation $(\epsilon=0)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha} u_{x}\right)_{x}=0 \tag{4.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

are obtained by solving the determining equation for exact symmetries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{0}^{[2]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha} u_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.174)}=0 \tag{4.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}^{[2]}$ is the second prolongation of the vector field $X_{0}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}^{[2]}=X_{0}+\zeta_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+\zeta_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}}+\zeta_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x}} \tag{4.176}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (4.175) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\zeta_{t}-u^{\alpha} \zeta_{x x}-2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} u_{x} \zeta_{x}-\left(\alpha(\alpha-1) u^{\alpha-2} u_{x}^{2}+\alpha u^{\alpha-1} u_{x x}\right) \eta\right)\right|_{(4.174)}=0 \tag{4.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $\zeta_{t}, \zeta_{x}$ and $\zeta_{x x}$ are given respectively by equations (1.23), (1.24) and (1.27). When expanded, equation (4.177) yields an overdetermined system of linear homogeneous partial differential equations (determining equations) that can be solved for the coefficients $\xi^{0}, \tau^{0}$ and $\eta^{0}$ of the approximate symmetry operator (4.171) using the classical Lie symmetry method.

With the aid of YaLie [7] software package, the determining equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{u}^{0}=0  \tag{4.178}\\
\tau_{u}^{0}=0  \tag{4.179}\\
\xi_{x}^{0}=0  \tag{4.180}\\
\eta_{t}^{0}-u^{\alpha} \eta_{x x}^{0}=0  \tag{4.181}\\
\alpha(1-\alpha) u^{\alpha-2} \eta^{0}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{u}^{0}+2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \tau_{x}^{0}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \xi_{t}^{0}-u^{\alpha} \eta_{u u}^{0}=0  \tag{4.182}\\
-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta^{0}+2 u^{\alpha} \tau_{x}^{0}-u^{\alpha} \xi_{t}^{0}=0  \tag{4.183}\\
-2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{x}^{0}+u^{\alpha} \tau_{x x}^{0}-2 u^{\alpha} \eta_{x u}^{0}-\tau_{t}^{0}=0 \tag{4.184}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the indicated variable. From equations (4.178) and (4.180) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{0}=A(t) \tag{4.185}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$. From equation (4.179) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{0}=B(t, x) \tag{4.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(t, x)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$ and $x$. Substituting equations (4.185) and (4.186) into equation (4.183) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{0}=\frac{u\left(2 B_{x}-A_{t}\right)}{\alpha} \tag{4.187}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.182) is identically satisfied by (4.185), (4.186) and (4.187). Substituting equation (4.187) into equations (4.181) and (4.184) reduce to the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{t t}+2 u^{\alpha} B_{x x x}-2 B_{t x}=0  \tag{4.188}\\
& (4+3 \alpha) u^{\alpha} B_{x x}+\alpha B_{t}=0 . \tag{4.189}
\end{align*}
$$

Separating (4.189) by powers of $u$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}=0 \text { or } \alpha=0 \tag{4.190}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{x x}=0 \text { or } 4+3 \alpha=0 \tag{4.191}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \neq 0,-4 / 3$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x)=k_{1} x+k_{2}, \tag{4.192}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are constants of integration. Substituting (4.192) into (4.188) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t t}=0 \tag{4.193}
\end{equation*}
$$

which solves to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=k_{3} t+k_{4} \tag{4.194}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ are constants of integration. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=\left(k_{3} t+k_{4}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(k_{1} x+k_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right) u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} . \tag{4.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the unperturbed equation (4.174) admits the four-dimensional Lie algebra with the basis

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& X_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},  \tag{4.196}\\
& X_{3}=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \\
& X_{4}=t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Second step: We determine the auxiliary function $H$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left.\frac{1}{\epsilon} X_{0}^{[2]}\left(u_{t}-\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right) u_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.170)}, \tag{4.197}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}^{[2]}$ is the second prolongation of $X_{0}$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
X_{0}^{[2]}=\left(k_{3} t+k_{4}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(k_{1} x+k_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right) u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\zeta_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{t}}+\zeta_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x}} \\
+\zeta_{x x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x x}} \tag{4.198}
\end{array}
$$

and the coefficients $\zeta^{\prime}$ s are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{t} & =\left(\frac{2 k_{1}-k_{3}}{\alpha}-k_{3}\right) u_{t}  \tag{4.199}\\
\zeta_{x} & =\left(\frac{2 k_{1}-k_{3}}{\alpha}-k_{3}\right) u_{x}  \tag{4.200}\\
\zeta_{x x} & =\left(\frac{2 k_{1}-k_{3}}{\alpha}-2 k_{1}\right) u_{x x} \tag{4.201}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the operator (4.198) into (4.197) and simplifying we obtain the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right)(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta-1}}{\alpha}\left(\beta u_{x}^{2}+u u_{x x}\right) . \tag{4.202}
\end{equation*}
$$

Third step: Now we calculate the operators $X_{1}$ by solving the inhomogeneous determining equation for deformations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{1}^{[2]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha} u_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.174)}+\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right)(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta-1}}{\alpha}\left(\beta u_{x}^{2}+u u_{x x}\right)=0 \tag{4.203}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{1}^{[2]}$ is the second prolongation of $X_{1}$. Expansion of (4.203) yields an overdetermined system of linear inhomogeneous partial differential equations that can be solved for the coefficients $\xi^{1}, \tau^{1}$, and $\eta^{1}$. The expansion of expression

$$
\left.X_{1}^{[2]}\left(u_{t}-\left(u^{\alpha} u_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right|_{(4.174)}
$$

is the same as that in equation (4.177) with superscript zero replaced by one. Thus, the determining equations of (4.203) become

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{u}^{1} & =0  \tag{4.204}\\
\tau_{u}^{1} & =0  \tag{4.205}\\
\xi_{x}^{1} & =0  \tag{4.206}\\
\eta_{t}^{1}-u^{\alpha} \eta_{x x}^{1} & =0  \tag{4.207}\\
\alpha(1-\alpha) u^{\alpha-2} \eta^{1}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{u}^{1}+2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \tau_{x}^{1}-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \xi_{t}^{1}-u^{\alpha} \eta_{u u}^{1} & \\
+\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right)(\alpha-\beta) \beta u^{\beta-1}}{\alpha} & =0, \tag{4.208}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
-\alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta^{1}+2 u^{\alpha} \tau_{x}^{1}-u^{\alpha} \xi_{t}^{1}+\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right)(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta}}{\alpha} & =0  \tag{4.209}\\
-2 \alpha u^{\alpha-1} \eta_{x}^{1}+u^{\alpha} \tau_{x x}^{1}-2 u^{\alpha} \eta_{x u}^{1}-\tau_{t}^{1} & =0 \tag{4.210}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the indicated variable. From equations (4.204) and (4.206) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{1}=C(t), \tag{4.211}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(t)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$. From equation (4.210) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{1}=D(t, x) \tag{4.212}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(t, x)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$ and $x$. Substituting equations (4.211) and (4.212) into equation (4.209) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1}=\frac{u\left(2 D_{x}-C_{t}\right)}{\alpha}+\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right)(\alpha-\beta) u^{\beta-\alpha+1}}{\alpha^{2}} . \tag{4.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (4.213) into equations (4.207) and (4.210) reduce to the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{t t}+2 u^{\alpha} D_{x x x}-2 D_{t x}=0  \tag{4.214}\\
& (4+3 \alpha) u^{\alpha} D_{x x}+\alpha D_{t}=0 \tag{4.215}
\end{align*}
$$

Separating (4.215) by powers of $u$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{t}=0 \text { or } \alpha=0 \tag{4.216}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x x}=0 \text { or } 4+3 \alpha=0 . \tag{4.217}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \neq 0,-4 / 3$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x)=d_{1} x+d_{2}, \tag{4.218}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are constants of integration. Substituting (4.218) into (4.214) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t t}=0 \tag{4.219}
\end{equation*}
$$

which solves to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(t)=d_{3} t+d_{4}, \tag{4.220}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$ are constants of integration. Substituting (4.213), (4.218) and (4.220) into (4.208) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right)(\alpha-\beta)(\beta-\alpha+1)(\beta-\alpha) u^{\beta-\alpha-1}}{\alpha^{2}}=0 \tag{4.221}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 k_{1}=k_{3} \tag{4.222}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\alpha-1 \tag{4.223}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\alpha \neq \beta$. We now consider the two cases separately including the case $\alpha=\beta$.
Subcase 4.3.1. $2 k_{1}=k_{3}$.
When $2 k_{1}=k_{3}$, we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1}=\frac{\left(2 d_{1}-d_{3}\right) u}{\alpha} \tag{4.224}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=\left(d_{3} t+d_{4}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(d_{1} x+d_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\left(2 d_{1}-d_{3}\right) u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} . \tag{4.225}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the approximate symmetries of (4.170) are obtained from

$$
\begin{array}{r}
X=\left[2 k_{1} t+k_{4}+\epsilon\left(d_{3} t+d_{4}\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left[k_{1} x+k_{2}+\epsilon\left(d_{1} x+d_{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x}  \tag{4.226}\\
+\epsilon \frac{\left(2 d_{1}-d_{3}\right) u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u},
\end{array}
$$

and are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
\mathbf{v}_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\mathbf{v}_{3} & =2 t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\mathbf{v}_{4} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1}  \tag{4.227}\\
\mathbf{v}_{5} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2} \\
\mathbf{v}_{6} & =\epsilon\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \\
\mathbf{v}_{7} & =\epsilon\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 4.3.2. $\beta=\alpha-1$.
When $\beta=\alpha-1$ we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1}=\frac{\left(2 d_{1}-d_{3}\right) u}{\alpha}+\frac{2 k_{1}-k_{3}}{\alpha^{2}} . \tag{4.228}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=\left(d_{3} t+d_{4}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(d_{1} x+d_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(\frac{\left(2 d_{1}-d_{3}\right) u}{\alpha}+\frac{2 k_{1}-k_{3}}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} . \tag{4.229}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the approximate symmetries of (4.170) are obtained from

$$
\begin{align*}
X=\left[k_{3} t\right. & \left.+k_{4}+\epsilon\left(d_{3} t+d_{4}\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left[k_{1} x+k_{2}+\epsilon\left(d_{1} x+d_{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
& +\left[\frac{\left(2 k_{1}-k_{3}\right) u}{\alpha}+\epsilon\left(\frac{\left(2 d_{1}-d_{3}\right) u}{\alpha}+\frac{2 k_{1}-k_{3}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{4.230}
\end{align*}
$$

and are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{3}=t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\left(\frac{u}{\alpha}+\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right), \\
& \mathbf{v}_{4}=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\left(\frac{2 u}{\alpha}+\frac{2 \epsilon}{\alpha^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right),  \tag{4.231}\\
& \mathbf{v}_{5}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{6}=\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{7}=\epsilon\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \\
& \mathbf{v}_{8}=\epsilon\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 4.3.3. $\alpha=\beta$.
When $\alpha=\beta$ equation (4.170) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(\left(u^{\alpha}+\epsilon u^{\alpha}\right) u_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{4.232}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the approximate symmetries of (4.170) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\mathbf{v}_{3} & =t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{4} & =x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2 u}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u},  \tag{4.233}\\
\mathbf{v}_{5} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{6} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{7} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{3}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{8} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase 4.3.4. $\alpha=-4 / 3$.
When $\alpha=-4 / 3$ equation (4.170) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(\left(u^{-4 / 3}+\epsilon u^{\beta}\right) u_{x}\right)_{x} \tag{4.234}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the approximate symmetries of (4.170) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{3} & =t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{3 u}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{4} & =x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{3 u}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{5} & =x^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-3 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u},  \tag{4.235}\\
\mathbf{v}_{6} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{1}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{7} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{2}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{8} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{3}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{9} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{4}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{10} & =\epsilon \mathbf{v}_{5} .
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.4 Conclusion

In this chapter, approximate symmetry analysis of perturbed PDEs was presented. Approximate symmetries of each submodel were obtained and particular linear combinations of approximate symmetries used to construct approximate invariant solutions.

## CONCLUSION

In this work, both Lie group analysis and approximate symmetry analysis were employed to study different submodels of a pseudo-parabolic PDE modelling solvent uptake in polymeric solids. In chapter two, Lie point symmetries of of the pseudo-parabolic PDE for power law in diffusion coefficient with constant velocity were obtained. Corresponding optimal systems of one-dimensional subalgebras were derived and used to perform symmetry reductions and construct invariant solutions. In chapter three, Lie point symmetries of the pseudo-parabolic PDE for law in diffusion coefficient and viscosity were obtained. Optimal systems of one-dimensional subalgebras were derived and used to perform symmetry reductions and construct group invariant solutions.

In chapter four, approximate symmetries of the perturbed pseudo-parabolic PDE with diffusion coefficient with a perturbation parameter and constant viscosity were obtained. Some linear combinations of the approximate symmetries were used to construct approximate invariant solutions.
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